

Report of the Inspector of Mental Health Services 2013

EXECUTIVE CATCHMENT AREA/INTEGRATED SERVICE AREA	Kildare/West Wicklow, Longford/Westmeath, Laois/Offaly
HSE AREA	Dublin/Mid Leinster
MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE	Kildare, West Wicklow
APPROVED CENTRE	Lakeview, Naas
NUMBER OF WARDS	1
NAMES OF UNITS OR WARDS INSPECTED	Lakeview
TOTAL NUMBER OF BEDS	29
CONDITIONS ATTACHED TO REGISTRATION	None
TYPE OF INSPECTION	Unannounced
DATE OF INSPECTION	20 June 2013

Summary

- Two residents had been transferred to other parts of the service because of overcrowding.
- Staff reported there were no appropriate step down facilities for residents.
- All residents did not have completed Individual Care Plans.
- Communal space in the approved centre, particularly on the lower ground floor was inadequate.

OVERVIEW

In 2013, the Inspectorate inspected this Approved Centre against all of the Mental Health Act 2001 (Approved Centres) Regulations 2006.

The Inspectorate was keen to highlight improvements and initiatives carried out in the past year and track progress on the implementation of recommendations made in 2012. In addition to the core inspection process information was also gathered from advocacy reports, service user interviews, staff interviews and photographic evidence collected on the day of the inspection.

DESCRIPTION

The approved centre at Lakeview was situated on the ground and lower ground floors of Naas general hospital. The ground floor was used for day activities and the lower ground floor for bedrooms, the dining area and two sitting rooms. The upper floor was bright and relatively spacious while space in the lower ground floor area was more restricted. On the day of inspection there were 31 residents, including 12 involuntary patients. Two of the 31 residents had been transferred to a high support residence to alleviate bed shortages in the approved centre. There were no children in the approved centre on the day of inspection.

SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE WITH MENTAL HEALTH ACT 2001 (APPROVED CENTRES) REGULATIONS 2006

COMPLIANCE RATING	2011	2012	2013	ARTICLE NUMBERS 2013
Fully Compliant	22	23	26	-
Substantial Compliance	8	8	4	15,22,26,27
Minimal Compliance	1	0	1	16
Not Compliant	0	0	0	-
Not Applicable	0	0	0	-

PART ONE: QUALITY OF CARE AND TREATMENT SECTION 51 (1)(b)(i) MENTAL HEALTH ACT 2001

DETAILS OF WARDS IN THE APPROVED CENTRE

WARD	NUMBER OF BEDS	NUMBER OF RESIDENTS	TEAM RESPONSIBLE
Lakeview	29	31	5 General Adult Teams + 1 Rehabilitation and Recovery Team

QUALITY INITIATIVES 2012/2013

- New prescription sheets had been introduced.
- The garden area had been expanded and improved.
- A new sunroom had been opened.
- The opening hours of the upstairs recreation rooms had been extended until 0800h daily.

PROGRESS ON RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE 2012 APPROVED CENTRE REPORT

1. There should be written information available on diagnoses and medications for residents.

Outcome: Two folders had been developed with information about diagnoses and treatments. This was kept in an office and given to residents as needed by staff.

2. There should be a definite arrangement for providing education for children who are admitted.

Outcome: Four children had been admitted to the approved centre in 2013. Staff reported protocols had been put in place for the education of children.

3. The room used for Mental Health Tribunals was unsuitable for this purpose and should be relocated.

Outcome: Another room more suitable to purpose had been identified and was being used.

PART TWO: EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE WITH REGULATIONS, RULES AND CODES OF PRACTICE, AND SECTION 60, MHA 2001

2.2 EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE WITH REGULATIONS UNDER MENTAL HEALTH ACT 2001 SECTION 52 (d)

Article 4: Identification of Residents

The registered proprietor shall make arrangements to ensure that each resident is readily identifiable by staff when receiving medication, health care or other services.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

Wrist bands were used. Two nurses administered medication.

Article 5: Food and Nutrition

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that residents have access to a safe supply of fresh drinking water.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that residents are provided with food and drink in quantities adequate for their needs, which is properly prepared, wholesome and nutritious, involves an element of choice and takes account of any special dietary requirements and is consistent with each resident's individual care plan.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

There was a choice of menu. Fresh water was available. The approved centre had access to the services of a dietician from the general hospital.

Article 6 (1-2): Food Safety

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure:

(a) the provision of suitable and sufficient catering equipment, crockery and cutlery

(b) the provision of proper facilities for the refrigeration, storage, preparation, cooking and serving of food, and

(c) that a high standard of hygiene is maintained in relation to the storage, preparation and disposal of food and related refuse.

(2) This regulation is without prejudice to:

(a) the provisions of the Health Act 1947 and any regulations made thereunder in respect of food standards (including labelling) and safety;

(b) any regulations made pursuant to the European Communities Act 1972 in respect of food standards (including labelling) and safety; and

(c) the Food Safety Authority of Ireland Act 1998.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The Environmental Health Officer's report was available and was satisfactory.

Article 7: Clothing

The registered proprietor shall ensure that:

(1) when a resident does not have an adequate supply of their own clothing the resident is provided with an adequate supply of appropriate individualised clothing with due regard to his or her dignity and bodily integrity at all times;

(2) night clothes are not worn by residents during the day, unless specified in a resident's individual care plan.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

No resident wore their night clothes on the day of inspection. Staff reported they had access to a supply of night clothing for people admitted in emergency. Anyone without an adequate supply of clothing could be referred to the social worker on the community mental health team for assistance.

Article 8: Residents' Personal Property and Possessions

(1) For the purpose of this regulation "personal property and possessions" means the belongings and personal effects that a resident brings into an approved centre; items purchased by or on behalf of a resident during his or her stay in an approved centre; and items and monies received by the resident during his or her stay in an approved centre.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written operational policies and procedures relating to residents' personal property and possessions.

(3) The registered proprietor shall ensure that a record is maintained of each resident's personal property and possessions and is available to the resident in accordance with the approved centre's written policy.

(4) The registered proprietor shall ensure that records relating to a resident's personal property and possessions are kept separately from the resident's individual care plan.

(5) The registered proprietor shall ensure that each resident retains control of his or her personal property and possessions except under circumstances where this poses a danger to the resident or others as indicated by the resident's individual care plan.

(6) The registered proprietor shall ensure that provision is made for the safe-keeping of all personal property and possessions.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

A policy was available. A list of personal property was maintained in the individual clinical files. All residents had lockable wardrobes and a safe was available for valuables.

Article 9: Recreational Activities

The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre, insofar as is practicable, provides access for residents to appropriate recreational activities.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X		X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>		X	
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

Residents had access to TV and DVDs and a beauty room. There was a supply of table and boardgames. Books were exchanged regularly by the library staff in the general hospital. There was an excellent roof garden on the upper floor, maintained by the activation nurse. The opening hours for the activities area had been extended and as a result, residents had access to this area upstairs for longer periods of time.

Article 10: Religion

The registered proprietor shall ensure that residents are facilitated, insofar as is reasonably practicable, in the practice of their religion.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

A policy on cultural diversity was available. Residents were facilitated in the practice of their religion.

Article 11 (1-6): Visits

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that appropriate arrangements are made for residents to receive visitors having regard to the nature and purpose of the visit and the needs of the resident.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that reasonable times are identified during which a resident may receive visits.

(3) The registered proprietor shall take all reasonable steps to ensure the safety of residents and visitors.

(4) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the freedom of a resident to receive visits and the privacy of a resident during visits are respected, in so far as is practicable, unless indicated otherwise in the resident's individual care plan.

(5) The registered proprietor shall ensure that appropriate arrangements and facilities are in place for children visiting a resident.

(6) The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre has written operational policies and procedures for visits.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

There was a policy on visiting. Visiting hours were clearly identified. A visiting room was available for children and families. There was a notice to the effect that children should be accompanied by an adult when visiting.

Article 12 (1-4): Communication

(1) Subject to subsections (2) and (3), the registered proprietor and the clinical director shall ensure that the resident is free to communicate at all times, having due regard to his or her wellbeing, safety and health.

(2) The clinical director, or a senior member of staff designated by the clinical director, may only examine incoming and outgoing communication if there is reasonable cause to believe that the communication may result in harm to the resident or to others.

(3) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written operational policies and procedures on communication.

(4) For the purposes of this regulation "communication" means the use of mail, fax, email, internet, telephone or any device for the purposes of sending or receiving messages or goods.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

An up-to-date policy was available. Residents had their own mobile phones and some brought in their own laptops and had internet access. There was a contradictory sign at the entrance to the approved centre that mobiles were not permitted. Staff reported after the inspection that the signage would be reworded to reflect practice.

Article 13: Searches

- (1) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written operational policies and procedures on the searching of a resident, his or her belongings and the environment in which he or she is accommodated.*
- (2) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that searches are only carried out for the purpose of creating and maintaining a safe and therapeutic environment for the residents and staff of the approved centre.*
- (3) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written operational policies and procedures for carrying out searches with the consent of a resident and carrying out searches in the absence of consent.*
- (4) *Without prejudice to subsection (3) the registered proprietor shall ensure that the consent of the resident is always sought.*
- (5) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that residents and staff are aware of the policy and procedures on searching.*
- (6) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that there is be a minimum of two appropriately qualified staff in attendance at all times when searches are being conducted.*
- (7) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that all searches are undertaken with due regard to the resident's dignity, privacy and gender.*
- (8) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that the resident being searched is informed of what is happening and why.*
- (9) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that a written record of every search is made, which includes the reason for the search.*
- (10) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written operational policies and procedures in relation to the finding of illicit substances.*

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

Policies on searching with and without consent and on the finding of illicit substances were available. Staff reported that searches were infrequent and that no searches had been conducted on anyone resident on the day of inspection.

Article 14 (1-5): Care of the Dying

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written operational policies and protocols for care of residents who are dying.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that when a resident is dying:

(a) appropriate care and comfort are given to a resident to address his or her physical, emotional, psychological and spiritual needs;

(b) in so far as practicable, his or her religious and cultural practices are respected;

(c) the resident's death is handled with dignity and propriety, and;

(d) in so far as is practicable, the needs of the resident's family, next-of-kin and friends are accommodated.

(3) The registered proprietor shall ensure that when the sudden death of a resident occurs:

(a) in so far as practicable, his or her religious and cultural practices are respected;

(b) the resident's death is handled with dignity and propriety, and;

(c) in so far as is practicable, the needs of the resident's family, next-of-kin and friends are accommodated.

(4) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the Mental Health Commission is notified in writing of the death of any resident of the approved centre, as soon as is practicable and in any event, no later than within 48 hours of the death occurring.

(5) This Regulation is without prejudice to the provisions of the Coroners Act 1962 and the Coroners (Amendment) Act 2005.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

A policy was available. Staff reported no resident had died in the approved centre in 2013 to the date of inspection.

Article 15: Individual Care Plan

The registered proprietor shall ensure that each resident has an individual care plan.

[Definition of an individual care plan: "... a documented set of goals developed, regularly reviewed and updated by the resident's multi-disciplinary team, so far as practicable in consultation with each resident. The individual care plan shall specify the treatment and care required which shall be in accordance with best practice, shall identify necessary resources and shall specify appropriate goals for the resident. For a resident who is a child, his or her individual care plan shall include education requirements. The individual care plan shall be recorded in the one composite set of documentation".]

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>		X	
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>	X		X
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

Individual Care Plans (ICPs), as defined in the Regulations were not always used in the approved centre. In one clinical file examined there was just one ICP between August 2012 and April 2013 and none after that to the date of inspection. Multidisciplinary team members were not always identified as attending ICP reviews. In one clinical file examined, the ICPs were attended by medical and nursing personnel only, according to documentation examined. One clinical file had a very good ICP with good links between it and the occupational therapy (OT) and social work interventions.

Breach:15

Article 16: Therapeutic Services and Programmes

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that each resident has access to an appropriate range of therapeutic services and programmes in accordance with his or her individual care plan.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that programmes and services provided shall be directed towards restoring and maintaining optimal levels of physical and psychosocial functioning of a resident.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>		X	
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>	X		
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			X
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

A number of therapeutic services including mindfulness groups, massage and a snoezelen multisensory room were available to residents. However, these were not always linked to the individual care plans and it was not clear from the documentation that members of the MDT attended in accordance with the Regulations. One ICP seemed to have been reviewed by a single member of staff only. In another clinical file however, there was a very good ICP with considerable OT and social work input which were linked well with the ICP.

Breach: 16 (1)

Article 17: Children's Education

The registered proprietor shall ensure that each resident who is a child is provided with appropriate educational services in accordance with his or her needs and age as indicated by his or her individual care plan.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X		X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>		X	
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

A policy was available. Four child residents were admitted during 2013. Staff reported a protocol had been put in place to meet the educational needs of children.

Article 18: Transfer of Residents

(1) When a resident is transferred from an approved centre for treatment to another approved centre, hospital or other place, the registered proprietor of the approved centre from which the resident is being transferred shall ensure that all relevant information about the resident is provided to the receiving approved centre, hospital or other place.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has a written policy and procedures on the transfer of residents.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>		X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>	X		
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The service had an up-to-date policy and procedures on the transfer of residents.

Article 19 (1-2): General Health

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that:

(a) adequate arrangements are in place for access by residents to general health services and for their referral to other health services as required;

(b) each resident's general health needs are assessed regularly as indicated by his or her individual care plan and in any event not less than every six months, and;

(c) each resident has access to national screening programmes where available and applicable to the resident.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written operational policies and procedures for responding to medical emergencies.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>		X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>	X		
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

A policy on responding to medical emergencies was available. The approved centre had access to the general health services of the general hospital. The clinical file of one resident who was hospitalised for more than six months was examined and found to be in order.

Article 20 (1-2): Provision of Information to Residents

(1) Without prejudice to any provisions in the Act the registered proprietor shall ensure that the following information is provided to each resident in an understandable form and language:

(a) details of the resident's multi-disciplinary team;

(b) housekeeping practices, including arrangements for personal property, mealtimes, visiting times and visiting arrangements;

(c) verbal and written information on the resident's diagnosis and suitable written information relevant to the resident's diagnosis unless in the resident's psychiatrist's view the provision of such information might be prejudicial to the resident's physical or mental health, well-being or emotional condition;

(d) details of relevant advocacy and voluntary agencies;

(e) information on indications for use of all medications to be administered to the resident, including any possible side-effects.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre has written operational policies and procedures for the provision of information to residents.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>			X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>	X	X	
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

An attractive patient information booklet with housekeeping information was available. Two folders had been compiled since the 2012 inspection on patient diagnoses and treatments. These were kept in an office and given to residents as needed. Staff reported there were plans to make this information more widely available. Information on the availability of the Irish Advocacy Network was available on notice boards.

Article 21: Privacy

The registered proprietor shall ensure that the resident's privacy and dignity is appropriately respected at all times.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X		X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>		X	
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

There were surrounding curtains in all multiple bed areas. There were curtains and blinds on windows. Residents had their own lockable wardrobes and lockers.

Article 22: Premises

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that:

(a) premises are clean and maintained in good structural and decorative condition;

(b) premises are adequately lit, heated and ventilated;

(c) a programme of routine maintenance and renewal of the fabric and decoration of the premises is developed and implemented and records of such programme are maintained.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre has adequate and suitable furnishings having regard to the number and mix of residents in the approved centre.

(3) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the condition of the physical structure and the overall approved centre environment is developed and maintained with due regard to the specific needs of residents and patients and the safety and well-being of residents, staff and visitors.

(4) Any premises in which the care and treatment of persons with a mental disorder or mental illness is begun after the commencement of these regulations shall be designed and developed or redeveloped specifically and solely for this purpose in so far as it practicable and in accordance with best contemporary practice.

(5) Any approved centre in which the care and treatment of persons with a mental disorder or mental illness is begun after the commencement of these regulations shall ensure that the buildings are, as far as practicable, accessible to persons with disabilities.

(6) This regulation is without prejudice to the provisions of the Building Control Act 1990, the Building Regulations 1997 and 2001, Part M of the Building Regulations 1997, the Disability Act 2005 and the Planning and Development Act 2000.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>			
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>	X	X	X
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

On the day of inspection the premises was clean. Upstairs was bright and welcoming. Downstairs, the sitting areas were small and inadequate, with one being part of an internal corridor. This area was in need of repainting as the walls were chipped and worn. Staff reported this was to take place in July 2013. Some chairs were torn and should be replaced.

The building was arranged around two internal gardens. The one on the ground floor was the smoking area, while the other rooftop garden was planted with flowers and vegetables.

Breach: 22(2),(3)

Article 23 (1-2): Ordering, Prescribing, Storing and Administration of Medicines

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre has appropriate and suitable practices and written operational policies relating to the ordering, prescribing, storing and administration of medicines to residents.

(2) This Regulation is without prejudice to the Irish Medicines Board Act 1995 (as amended), the Misuse of Drugs Acts 1977, 1984 and 1993, the Misuse of Drugs Regulations 1998 (S.I. No. 338 of 1998) and 1993 (S.I. No. 338 of 1993 and S.I. No. 342 of 1993) and S.I. No. 540 of 2003, Medicinal Products (Prescription and control of Supply) Regulations 2003 (as amended).

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

A policy was available on the ordering prescribing and storing of medicines.

Article 24 (1-2): Health and Safety

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre has written operational policies and procedures relating to the health and safety of residents, staff and visitors.

(2) This regulation is without prejudice to the provisions of Health and Safety Act 1989, the Health and Safety at Work Act 2005 and any regulations made thereunder.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

Policies were available in relation to the health and safety of patients, staff and visitors.

Article 25: Use of Closed Circuit Television (CCTV)

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that in the event of the use of closed circuit television or other such monitoring device for resident observation the following conditions will apply:

- (a) it shall be used solely for the purposes of observing a resident by a health professional who is responsible for the welfare of that resident, and solely for the purposes of ensuring the health and welfare of that resident;*
- (b) it shall be clearly labelled and be evident;*
- (c) the approved centre shall have clear written policy and protocols articulating its function, in relation to the observation of a resident;*
- (d) it shall be incapable of recording or storing a resident's image on a tape, disc, hard drive, or in any other form and be incapable of transmitting images other than to the monitoring station being viewed by the health professional responsible for the health and welfare of the resident;*
- (e) it must not be used if a resident starts to act in a way which compromises his or her dignity.*

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the existence and usage of closed circuit television or other monitoring device is disclosed to the resident and/or his or her representative.

(3) The registered proprietor shall ensure that existence and usage of closed circuit television or other monitoring device is disclosed to the Inspector of Mental Health Services and/or Mental Health Commission during the inspection of the approved centre or at anytime on request.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>		X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>	X		
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

CCTV was used in the approved centre. A policy was available. Its use was clearly identified.

Article 26: Staffing

- (1) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written policies and procedures relating to the recruitment, selection and vetting of staff.*
- (2) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that the numbers of staff and skill mix of staff are appropriate to the assessed needs of residents, the size and layout of the approved centre.*
- (3) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that there is an appropriately qualified staff member on duty and in charge of the approved centre at all times and a record thereof maintained in the approved centre.*
- (4) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that staff have access to education and training to enable them to provide care and treatment in accordance with best contemporary practice.*
- (5) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that all staff members are made aware of the provisions of the Act and all regulations and rules made thereunder, commensurate with their role.*
- (6) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that a copy of the Act and any regulations and rules made thereunder are to be made available to all staff in the approved centre.*

WARD OR UNIT	STAFF TYPE	DAY	NIGHT
Lakeview	CNM1	2	1
	CNM2	1	0
	RPN	6	3

OClinical Nurse Manager (CNM), Registered Psychiatric Nurse (RPN), Non Consultant Hospital Doctor (NCHD), Director of Nursing, (DON), Assistant Director of Nursing (ADON).

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>			
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>	X	X	X
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

Staff reported that the community mental health teams were fully staffed with the exception of one psychology post and that was due to be filled in September. An occupational therapist had taken up post in the approved centre in April. The Rehabilitation team was underresourced. The staff training record was available. A policy on recruiting of staff was available.

Breach: 26(2)

Article 27: Maintenance of Records

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that records and reports shall be maintained in a manner so as to ensure completeness, accuracy and ease of retrieval. All records shall be kept up-to-date and in good order in a safe and secure place.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written policies and procedures relating to the creation of, access to, retention of and destruction of records.

(3) The registered proprietor shall ensure that all documentation of inspections relating to food safety, health and safety and fire inspections is maintained in the approved centre.

(4) This Regulation is without prejudice to the provisions of the Data Protection Acts 1988 and 2003 and the Freedom of Information Acts 1997 and 2003.

The Inspectorate did not inspect and has no expertise in assessing fire risk

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>			
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>	X	X	X
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

A policy was available. Clinical files were generally well maintained. Sequential multidisciplinary notes had been introduced to increase the logical flow of information. Inspection reports on food safety, health and safety were available. The fire inspection report was not available on the day of inspection.

Breach: 27(3)

Article 28: Register of Residents

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that an up-to-date register shall be established and maintained in relation to every resident in an approved centre in a format determined by the Commission and shall make available such information to the Commission as and when requested by the Commission.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the register includes the information specified in Schedule 1 to these Regulations.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X		X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>		X	
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The Register of Residents was available as per the Regulations.

Article 29: Operating policies and procedures

The registered proprietor shall ensure that all written operational policies and procedures of an approved centre are reviewed on the recommendation of the Inspector or the Commission and at least every 3 years having due regard to any recommendations made by the Inspector or the Commission.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

Operating policies were available and in date.

Article 30: Mental Health Tribunals

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre will co-operate fully with Mental Health Tribunals.

(2) In circumstances where a patient's condition is such that he or she requires assistance from staff of the approved centre to attend, or during, a sitting of a mental health tribunal of which he or she is the subject, the registered proprietor shall ensure that appropriate assistance is provided by the staff of the approved centre.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The service cooperated with Mental Health Tribunals. Accommodation had been improved for Tribunal hearings during the year.

Article 31: Complaint Procedures

- (1) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre has written operational policies and procedures relating to the making, handling and investigating complaints from any person about any aspects of service, care and treatment provided in, or on behalf of an approved centre.*
- (2) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that each resident is made aware of the complaints procedure as soon as is practicable after admission.*
- (3) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that the complaints procedure is displayed in a prominent position in the approved centre.*
- (4) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that a nominated person is available in an approved centre to deal with all complaints.*
- (5) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that all complaints are investigated promptly.*
- (6) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that the nominated person maintains a record of all complaints relating to the approved centre.*
- (7) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that all complaints and the results of any investigations into the matters complained and any actions taken on foot of a complaint are fully and properly recorded and that such records shall be in addition to and distinct from a resident's individual care plan.*
- (8) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that any resident who has made a complaint is not adversely affected by reason of the complaint having been made.*
- (9) *This Regulation is without prejudice to Part 9 of the Health Act 2004 and any regulations made thereunder.*

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The Health Service Executive (HSE) complaints policy 'Your Service Your Say', was highlighted on notice boards. A complaints officer was available. The complaints record was available on the day of inspection. There was a policy relating to complaints that was up to date.

Article 32: Risk Management Procedures

- (1) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre has a comprehensive written risk management policy in place and that it is implemented throughout the approved centre.*
- (2) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that risk management policy covers, but is not limited to, the following:*
- (a) *The identification and assessment of risks throughout the approved centre;*
 - (b) *The precautions in place to control the risks identified;*
 - (c) *The precautions in place to control the following specified risks:*
 - (i) *resident absent without leave,*
 - (ii) *suicide and self harm,*
 - (iii) *assault,*
 - (iv) *accidental injury to residents or staff;*
 - (d) *Arrangements for the identification, recording, investigation and learning from serious or untoward incidents or adverse events involving residents;*
 - (e) *Arrangements for responding to emergencies;*
 - (f) *Arrangements for the protection of children and vulnerable adults from abuse.*
- (3) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre shall maintain a record of all incidents and notify the Mental Health Commission of incidents occurring in the approved centre with due regard to any relevant codes of practice issued by the Mental Health Commission from time to time which have been notified to the approved centre.*

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

An appropriate policy was available. There was evidence in the clinical files that the FACE (Functional Analysis of Care Environment) risk assessment tool was being used. Staff reported that no serious clinical incidents had taken place in the year to the date of inspection. A risk manager was in place and there was a system for reviewing incidents which were recorded on the STARSWeb system of the general hospital.

Article 33: Insurance

The registered proprietor of an approved centre shall ensure that the unit is adequately insured against accidents or injury to residents.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The approved centre was insured by the State Claims Agency.

Article 34: Certificate of Registration

The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre's current certificate of registration issued pursuant to Section 64(3)(c) of the Act is displayed in a prominent position in the approved centre.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The current Certificate of Registration was displayed at the entrance to the approved centre.

2.3 EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE WITH RULES – MENTAL HEALTH ACT 2001 SECTION 52 (d)

SECLUSION

Use: Seclusion was used in the approved centre.

SECTION	DESCRIPTION	FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
1	General principles	X			
3	Orders	X			
4	Patient dignity and safety	X			
5	Monitoring of the patient	X			
6	Renewal of seclusion orders	X			
7	Ending seclusion	X			
8	Facilities	X			
9	Recording	X			
10	Clinical governance	X			
11	Staff training	X			
12	CCTV	X			
13	Child patients	NOT APPLICABLE			

Justification for this rating:

The seclusion facilities were inspected. The facilities were clean and there were en suite facilities available. CCTV was used and there was clear signage in the room regarding this.

The seclusion register was examined. The order forms were completed correctly. The clinical files of two residents who had been secluded were examined. It was evident from the files that de-escalation techniques had been used and that seclusion was used only as a last resort. Next of kin had been informed and there was evidence from the post seclusion checklists that the episodes were discussed with the residents and at the multidisciplinary team meeting.

A policy on seclusion was available and the training register indicated that all staff had received training in therapeutic management of violence and aggression (TMVA).

Electroconvulsive Therapy (ECT) (DETAILED PATIENTS)

Use: The approved centre provided ECT for detained patients.

SECTION	DESCRIPTION	FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
2	Consent	X			
3	Information	X			
4	Absence of consent	X			
5	Prescription of ECT	X			
6	Patient assessment	X			
7	Anaesthesia	X			
8	Administration of ECT	X			
9	ECT Suite	X			
10	Materials and equipment	X			
11	Staffing	X			
12	Documentation	X			
13	ECT during pregnancy	NOT APPLICABLE			

Justification for this rating:

One detained patient had undergone involuntary ECT in 2013 up to the time of inspection. The individual clinical file was examined and details in relation to capacity and consent were completed. A copy of Form 16 was also noted.

There was a designated consultant and anaesthetist for ECT and two nurses had received ECT training. The ECT suite was satisfactory and there was a comprehensive information leaflet available for patients.

The inspectors noted that the overall numbers using ECT in the approved centre were low.

MECHANICAL RESTRAINT

Use: Mechanical restraint was not used in the approved centre and there was a policy that stated this.

2.4 EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE WITH CODES OF PRACTICE – MENTAL HEALTH ACT 2001 SECTION 51 (iii)

PHYSICAL RESTRAINT

Use: Physical restraint was used in the approved centre.

SECTION	DESCRIPTION	FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
1	General principles	X			
5	Orders	X			
6	Resident dignity and safety	X			
7	Ending physical restraint	X			
8	Recording use of physical restraint	X			
9	Clinical governance		X		
10	Staff training	X			
11	Child residents	NOT APPLICABLE			

Justification for this rating:

The Clinical Practice Form book was examined and all documentation was of a good standard and in order. The individual clinical file of two residents who had been physically restrained were inspected and in both instances, next of kin had been informed, the multidisciplinary teams had reviewed the incident of restraint with the resident and a physical examination had been completed within the specified timeframe.

Staff training in the therapeutic management of violence and aggression had been completed but the policy on physical restraint, which must be reviewed annually, was out of date.

Breach: 9.2(d)

ADMISSION OF CHILDREN

Description: No child was resident at the time of inspection, but four children had been admitted in 2013 up to time of inspection.

SECTION	DESCRIPTION	FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
2	Admission				X
3	Treatment	X			
4	Leave provisions	NOT APPLICABLE			

Justification for this rating:

The clinical file of one child who had been admitted in 2013 was available for inspection. The child had been resident in the approved centre for a period of 13 days because of a lack of beds in Child and Adolescent Inpatient Units. The parent had signed the consent form for admission and treatment of the child.

The approved centre was not suitable for the admission of children.

Breach: 2.5

NOTIFICATION OF DEATHS AND INCIDENT REPORTING

Description: No death had occurred in 2013 to the date of inspection.

SECTION	DESCRIPTION	FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
2	Notification of deaths	NOT APPLICABLE			
3	Incident reporting	X			
4	Clinical governance (identified risk manager)	X			

Justification for this rating:

Deaths and incidents were reported to the Mental Health Commission. The service had a risk management policy and an identified risk manager for the approved centre.

Electroconvulsive Therapy (ECT) FOR VOLUNTARY PATIENTS

Use: No resident was receiving ECT at the time of inspection.

SECTION	DESCRIPTION	FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
4	Consent	X			
5	Information	X			
6	Prescription of ECT	X			
7	Assessment of voluntary patient	X			
8	Anaesthesia	X			
9	Administration of ECT	X			
10	ECT Suite	X			
11	Materials and equipment	X			
12	Staffing	X			
13	Documentation	X			
14	ECT during pregnancy	NOT APPLICABLE			

Justification for this rating:

There was a designated consultant and anaesthetist for ECT and two nurses had received ECT training. The ECT suite was satisfactory and there was a comprehensive information leaflet available for patients.

The individual clinical file of one resident who had ECT in 2013 was examined. The relevant documentation was recorded in relation to consent, provision of information and prescription of ECT.

Policies and procedures were in place for the administration of ECT.

The inspectors noted that the overall numbers using ECT in the approved centre were low.

ADMISSION, TRANSFER AND DISCHARGE

Part 2 Enabling Good Practice through Effective Governance

The following aspects were considered: 4. policies and protocols, 5. privacy confidentiality and consent, 6. staff roles and responsibility, 7. risk management, 8. information transfer, 9. staff information and training.

Level of compliance:

FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
X			

Justification for this rating:

The approved centre had policies on admission, transfer and discharge of residents that satisfied this Code of Practice. The service was compliant with Article 8 relating to Personal Property and Possessions, Article 23 relating to the Ordering, Prescribing, Storing and Administration of Medication and Article 32 relating to Risk Management.

The staff training record was examined and was up to date.

Part 3 Admission Process

The following aspects were considered: 10. pre-admission process, 11. unplanned referral to an Approved Centre, 12. admission criteria, 13. decision to admit, 14. decision not to admit, 15. assessment following admission, 16. rights and information, 17. individual care and treatment plan, 18. resident and family/carer/advocate involvement, 19. multidisciplinary team involvement, 20. key-worker, 21. collaboration with primary health care community mental health services, relevant outside agencies and information transfer, 22. record-keeping and documentation, 23. day of admission, 24. specific groups.

Level of compliance:

FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
	X		

Justification for this rating:

The clinical file of one resident who had been recently admitted was inspected. An admission form was completed following admission that showed evidence of mental state examination, risk assessment and physical examination. The resident had an individual care plan and was allocated a key worker. The service was compliant with Articles 7 relating to Clothing, Article 8 relating to Personal Property and Possessions and Article 20 relating to Provision of Information.

In other instances the service was not fully compliant with Article 15 relating to Individual Care Plans.

Breach: 17.2

Part 4 Transfer Process

The following aspects were considered: 25. Transfer criteria, 26. decision to transfer, 27. assessment before transfer, 28. resident involvement, 29. multidisciplinary team involvement, 30. communication between Approved Centre and receiving facility and information transfer, 31. record-keeping and documentation, 32. day of transfer.

Level of compliance:

FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
		X	

Justification for this rating:

There were two residents on transfer on the day of inspection. Staff reported they had been transferred to alleviate bed shortages in the approved centre, rather than in their own best interests as required by the *Code of Practice on Admission, Transfer and Discharge to and from an Approved Centre*. The clinical files were not available on the day of inspection.

Breach: 25.1(a)

Part 5 Discharge Process

The following aspects were considered: 33. Decision to discharge, 34. discharge planning, 35. pre-discharge assessment, 36. multi-disciplinary team involvement, 37. key-worker, 38. collaboration with primary health care, community mental health services, relevant outside agencies and information transfer, 39. resident and family/carer/advocate involvement and information provision, 40. notice of discharge, 41. follow-up and aftercare, 42. record-keeping and documentation, 43. day of discharge, 44. specific groups.

Level of compliance:

FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
X			

Justification for this rating:

The decision to discharge was made by the consultant psychiatrist with input from the multidisciplinary team (MDT). Inspection of the individual clinical file of one recently discharged resident showed evidence of a comprehensive pre-discharge assessment and a good discharge summary which accompanied the resident to the community services.

HOW MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES SHOULD WORK WITH PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY AND MENTAL ILLNESS

Description: One resident in the approved centre on the day of inspection had an intellectual disability and a mental illness.

The following aspects were considered: 5. policies, 6. education and training, 7. inter-agency collaboration, 8. individual care and treatment plan, 9. communication issues, 10. environmental considerations, 11. considering the use of restrictive practices, 12. main recommendations, 13. assessing capacity.

Level of compliance:

FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
X			

Justification for this rating:

There was a policy on the management and care of an individual with an intellectual disability and mental illness. There was inter-agency collaboration with a neighbouring service for intellectual disability and this service provided a staff training programme in the approved centre. The individual clinical file of the resident with intellectual disability was inspected and there was an individual care plan and capacity had been assessed.

2.5 EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE WITH SECTIONS 60/61 MENTAL HEALTH ACT 2001 (MEDICATION)

SECTION 60 – ADMINISTRATION OF MEDICINE

Description: Two patients had been detained in the approved centre for a period exceeding three months.

SECTION	FULLY COMPLIANT	NOT COMPLIANT
Section 60 (a)	X	
Section 60 (b)(i)	NOT APPLICABLE	
Section 60 (b)(ii)	NOT APPLICABLE	

Justification for this rating:

Both patients detained under the Mental Health Act 2001 for a period exceeding three months had up-to-date signed consent for the administration of medication.

**SECTION 61 – TREATMENT OF CHILDREN WITH SECTION 25 MENTAL HEALTH ACT 2001
ORDER IN FORCE**

Description: There was no child resident in the approved centre so section 61 did not apply.

SECTION THREE: OTHER ASPECTS OF THE APPROVED CENTRE

SERVICE USER INTERVIEWS

A number of residents were greeted by the Inspectorate in the course of inspection. No resident requested to speak to the Inspectorate.

ADVOCACY

The report from the representative of the Irish Advocacy Network praised the medical and nursing staff of the approved centre as being caring and responsive to expressed need. The report called for more talking therapies and in particular, access to specialised service for pre-adult trauma. The report was critical of the size of the unit, and residents complained that it was too small and they felt 'locked in'.

OVERALL CONCLUSIONS

On the day of inspection there were 31 residents in the approved centre which had 29 beds and two residents had been transferred to alleviate bed shortages in the approved centre, contrary to the Regulations and *Code of Practice on Admission, Transfer and Discharge to and from an Approved Centre*. At the same time there were some residents in the approved centre who were awaiting suitable placement in order to be discharged.

Downstairs space, in particular communal space in the approved centre was inadequate and felt restricted. The area was in need of refurbishment. Staff reported this was due to commence during the year. In spite of some areas of good practice regarding individual care plans, they were often insufficiently developed and there was insufficient evidence of multidisciplinary care planning. Although restricted by the design of the building, there was evidence of staff maximising the facilities that were available to them e.g. with the well developed activities area, the extension of the opening hours to those activities areas located on the upper floor and the opening of a sunroom, during the year.

RECOMMENDATIONS 2013

1. Transfers should be undertaken in the best interests of the residents.
2. Individual care plans should be instituted in accordance with the Regulations.
3. Policies should be reviewed in accordance with the Codes of Practice. The policy on the use of physical restraint should be reviewed annually.
4. Clinical files should reflect a multidisciplinary dimension of care.