

Report of the Inspector of Mental Health Services 2010

EXECUTIVE CATCHMENT AREA	Independent Sector
HSE AREA	Independent Sector
CATCHMENT AREA	Independent Sector
MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE	Highfield Hospital Group
APPROVED CENTRE	Hampstead Private Hospital
NUMBER OF WARDS	1
NAMES OF UNITS OR WARDS INSPECTED	Hampstead Private Hospital
TOTAL NUMBER OF BEDS	44
CONDITIONS ATTACHED TO REGISTRATION	No
TYPE OF INSPECTION	Unannounced
DATE OF INSPECTION	9 February 2010

PART ONE: QUALITY OF CARE AND TREATMENT SECTION 51 (1) (b) (i) MENTAL HEALTH ACT 2001

INTRODUCTION

In 2010, the Inspectorate paid particular attention to Articles 15 to 22 and 26 of the Mental Health Act 2001 (Approved Centres) Regulations 2006 and all areas of non-compliance with the Regulations in 2009 and any other Article where applicable. The Inspectorate was keen to highlight improvements and initiatives carried out in the past year and track progress on the implementation of recommendations made in 2009. Information was gathered from self-assessments, service user interviews, staff interviews and photographic evidence collected on the day of the inspection.

DESCRIPTION

Hampstead Private Hospital was a 44-bed hospital situated in a listed building overlooking park land. The service provided continuing care for individuals with enduring mental health problems and individuals with dementia. Residents were accommodated over two floors. Those who were ambulant were accommodated on the upper floor. Accommodation varied from a 6-bed dormitory, two 4-bed dormitories, twin and single rooms. Development plans for a new building to merge the services with Highfield Private Hospitals were reported to be at an advanced stage.

DETAILS OF WARDS IN THE APPROVED CENTRE

WARD	NUMBER OF BEDS	NUMBER OF RESIDENTS	TEAM RESPONSIBLE
Hampstead	44	42	Psychiatry of Old Age

QUALITY INITIATIVES

- The service had commenced auditing the individual care plans.
- The linen room had been refurbished.
- Sinks had been installed into all bedrooms.

PROGRESS ON RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE 2009 APPROVED CENTRE REPORT

1. Policy in relation to mechanical restraint should be amended to reflect the practice of the use of restraint for enduring self-harming behaviour.

Outcome: This had been completed.

2. Multidisciplinary teams should include members from the psychology and social work disciplines.

Outcome: The service articulated that these services could be purchased if required. It was reported that there was no unmet need for psychology and social work services in respect of any resident.

3. Privacy should be protected for all residents accommodated in the six-bed dormitory.

Outcome: This had been achieved.

4. An advocacy service should be recruited to attend the service.

Outcome: Meetings with the Irish Advocacy Network (IAN) had taken place. Agreements had been reached. It was reported that the service expected members of IAN to visit residents shortly.

PART TWO: EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE WITH REGULATIONS, RULES AND CODES OF PRACTICE, AND SECTION 60, MHA 2001

2.2 EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE WITH REGULATIONS UNDER MENTAL HEALTH ACT 2001 SECTION 52 (d)

Article 4: Identification of Residents

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Article 5: Food and Nutrition

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Article 6 (1-2): Food Safety

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Article 7: Clothing

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Article 8: Residents' Personal Property and Possessions

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Article 9: Recreational Activities

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Article 10: Religion

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Article 11 (1-6): Visits

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Article 12 (1-4): Communication

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Article 13: Searches

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Article 14 (1-5): Care of the Dying

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Article 15: Individual Care Plan

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Justification for this rating:

All residents had an individual care plan as defined in the Regulations.

Article 16: Therapeutic Services and Programmes

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Justification for this rating:

There was a programme of therapeutic activities in the approved centre. Each resident had access to an appropriate range of therapeutic services and programmes in accordance with his or her individual care plan.

Article 17: Children's Education

Children were not admitted to the approved centre.

Article 18: Transfer of Residents

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Justification for this rating:

The service was compliant with this Article on the day of inspection.

Article 19 (1-2): General Health

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		X
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Justification for this rating:

Three clinical files were examined. Of these, one resident's previous physical examination had been completed more than six months previously. It was reported that a number of residents were overdue their six monthly physical examination.

Breach: 19(1) (b)

Article 20 (1-2): Provision of Information to Residents

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Justification for this rating:

A residents' information booklet was available. The service had written operational policies and procedures.

Article 21: Privacy

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>		X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>	X	
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Justification for this rating:

The service was compliant with this Article on the day of inspection.

Article 22: Premises

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Justification for this rating:

Some of the twin rooms appeared cramped.

Article 23 (1-2): Ordering, Prescribing, Storing and Administration of Medicines

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Article 24 (1-2): Health and Safety

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Article 25: Use of Closed Circuit Television (CCTV)

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Article 26: Staffing

WARD OR UNIT	STAFF TYPE	DAY	NIGHT
Hampstead Unit	Nursing	3 (including CNM2)	1
	Care Staff	7	3
	Occupational therapist	sessional	0
	Activities therapist	1	0
	Consultant psychiatrist	sessional	0

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Justification for this rating:

The service was compliant with this Article on the day of inspection.

Article 27: Maintenance of Records

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Article 28: Register of Residents

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>		X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>	X	
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Justification for this rating:

The register of residents included all the required information under this Article.

Article 29: Operating policies and procedures

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Article 30: Mental Health Tribunals

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Article 31: Complaint Procedures

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Article 32: Risk Management Procedures

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Article 33: Insurance

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

Article 34: Certificate of Registration

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2009	2010
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Regulation.</i>	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance but improvement needed.</i>		
Compliance initiated	<i>An attempt has been made to achieve compliance but significant progress is still needed.</i>		
Not compliant	<i>Service is unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Regulation.</i>		

2.3 EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE WITH RULES – MENTAL HEALTH ACT 2001 SECTION 52 (d)

SECLUSION

Use: Seclusion was not used in the approved centre.

ECT (DETAINED PATIENTS)

Use: ECT was not used in the approved centre.

MECHANICAL RESTRAINT

Use: The service used chair belts and Posey belts for enduring risk of self harm under Part 5 of the Rules.

.SECTION	DESCRIPTION	FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	COMPLIANCE INITIATED	NOT COMPLIANT
14	Orders	NOT APPLICABLE			
15	Patient dignity and safety	NOT APPLICABLE			
16	Ending mechanical restraint	NOT APPLICABLE			
17	Recording use of mechanical restraint	NOT APPLICABLE			
18	Clinical governance	X			
19	Staff training	NOT APPLICABLE			
20	Child patients	NOT APPLICABLE			
21	Part 5: Use of mechanical means of bodily restraint for enduring self-harming behaviour		X		

Justification for this rating:

The approved centre used a certificate of restraint to record the type of restraint used, the reason for the restraint and the implementation and review dates. Some of the reviewed certificates had not been signed by the consultant or the nurse in charge. These certificates were retained in a restraint folder. A copy of this certificate should also be retained in the resident's clinical file as required.

Breach: 21.4(a)

2.4 EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE WITH CODES OF PRACTICE – MENTAL HEALTH ACT 2001 SECTION 51 (iii)

PHYSICAL RESTRAINT

Use: Physical restraint was not used.

ADMISSION OF CHILDREN

Description: The approved centre did not admit children.

NOTIFICATION OF DEATHS AND INCIDENT REPORTING

Description: At the time of inspection, there had been no deaths in the approved centre.

SECTION	DESCRIPTION	FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	COMPLIANCE INITIATED	NOT COMPLIANT
2	Notification of deaths	NOT APPLICABLE			
3	Incident reporting	X			
4	Clinical governance	X			

Justification for this rating:

The approved centre had policies and procedures in place relating to death notification and incident reporting.

ECT FOR VOLUNTARY PATIENTS

Use: ECT was not used in the approved centre.

ADMISSION, TRANSFER AND DISCHARGE

Description: Relevent clinical files were examined.

Part 2 Enabling Good Practice through Effective Governance

The following aspects were considered: 4. policies and protocols, 5.privacy confidentiality and consent, 6. staff roles and responsibility, 7.risk management, 8. information transfer, 9. staff information and training.

Level of compliance:

FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	COMPLIANCE INITIATED	NOT COMPLIANT
X			

Justification for this rating:

Policies and procedures were in place with regard to admission, transfer and discharge. All residents underwent a risk assessment.

Part 3 Admission Process

The following aspects were considered: 10. pre-admission process, 11. unplanned referral to an Approved Centre, 12. admission criteria, 13. decision to admit, 14. decision not to admit, 15. assessment following admission, 16. rights and information, 17. individual care and treatment plan, 18. resident and family/carer/advocate involvement, 19. multidisciplinary team involvement, 20. key-worker, 21. collaboration with primary health care community mental health services, relevant outside agencies and information transfer, 22. record-keeping and documentation, 23. day of admission, 24. specific groups.

Level of compliance:

FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	COMPLIANCE INITIATED	NOT COMPLIANT
X			

Justification for this rating:

The documentation in the clinical files was of a high standard and clearly recorded decisions to admit, assessment processes, involvement of carers and family and multidisciplinary team input. The individual care plans were regularly reviewed and specified the care and treatment provided including therapeutic programmes and services.

Part 4 Transfer Process

The following aspects were considered: 25. Transfer criteria, 26. decision to transfer, 27. assessment before transfer, 28. resident involvement, 29. multi-disciplinary team involvement, 30. communication between Approved Centre and receiving facility and information transfer, 31. record-keeping and documentation, 32. day of transfer.

Level of compliance:

FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	COMPLIANCE INITIATED	NOT COMPLIANT
X			

Justification for this rating:

A number of clinical files were reviewed of residents who had been transferred to general hospitals. There was clear documentation in the files of relevant information having been sent to the receiving hospital about the resident and about ongoing communication. There was documentary evidence of family involvement where appropriate.

Part 5 Discharge Process

The following aspects were considered: 33. Decision to discharge, 34. discharge planning, 35. pre-discharge assessment, 36. multi-disciplinary team involvement, 37. key-worker, 38. collaboration with primary health care, community mental health services, relevant outside agencies and information transfer, 39. resident and family/carer/advocate involvement and information provision, 40. notice of discharge, 41. follow-up and aftercare, 42. record-keeping and documentation, 43. day of discharge, 44. specific groups.

Level of compliance:

FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	COMPLIANCE INITIATED	NOT COMPLIANT
NOT APPLICABLE			

Justification for this rating:

At the time of the inspection, no resident had been discharged since this Code of Practice came into operation.

HOW MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES SHOULD WORK WITH PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY AND MENTAL ILLNESS

Description: One resident had an intellectual disability and mental illness.

The following aspects were considered: 5. policies, 6. education and training, 7. inter-agency collaboration, 8. individual care and treatment plan, 9. communication issues, 10. environmental considerations, 11. considering the use of restrictive practices, 12. main recommendations, 13. assessing capacity.

Level of compliance:

FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	COMPLIANCE INITIATED	NOT COMPLIANT
X			

Justification for this rating:

The clinical file of this resident was examined. The service had a policy in place. The resident had an individual care plan.

2.5 EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE WITH SECTIONS 60/61 MENTAL HEALTH ACT (MEDICATION)

SECTION 60 – ADMINISTRATION OF MEDICINE

Description: This was not applicable as all residents were voluntary.

SECTION 61 – TREATMENT OF CHILDREN WITH SECTION 25 ORDER IN FORCE

Description: The approved centre did not admit children.

SECTION THREE: OTHER ASPECTS OF THE APPROVED CENTRE

SERVICE USER INTERVIEWS

None of the residents spoke with the Inspectorate during the inspection.

MEDICATION

There were a number of difficulties with the medication sheets. Some signatures and medication names were illegible. No indications were written for as required (PRN) medication although a space was provided on the sheets. Discontinuation of medication was unsigned and some PRN medication was mixed in with regular prescriptions.

Almost half the residents were on benzodiazepines and eight were on more than one benzodiazepine at the same time.

MEDICATION LONG STAY

NUMBER OF PRESCRIPTIONS:	42
Number on benzodiazepines	20 (48%)
Number on more than one benzodiazepine	8 (19%)
Number on regular benzodiazepines	10 (24%)
Number on PRN benzodiazepines	16 (38%)
Number on hypnotics	15 (36%)
Number on Non benzodiazepine hypnotics	14 (33)
Number on antipsychotic medication	24 (57%)
Number on high dose antipsychotic medication	0
Number on more than one antipsychotic medication	7 (17%)
Number on PRN antipsychotic medication	1 (2%)
Number on antidepressant medication	21 (50%)

Number on more than one antidepressant	9 (21%)
Number on antiepileptic medication	9 (21%)
Number on Lithium	1 (2%)

OVERALL CONCLUSIONS

During this unannounced inspection, a number of relatives were visiting the centre and expressed their satisfaction with the level of care and treatment of residents. All residents interviewed were pleased with the level of care and treatment. A number of residents were overdue their six monthly physical examination. The approved centre used a certificate of restraint to record mechanical restraint used under Part 5 of the Rules governing the use of mechanical restraint. A number of the certificates examined had not been signed by the consultant or the nurse in charge. Benzodiazepine prescribing was high and the quality of completion of the medication sheets poor. It was reported that the Irish Advocacy Network had finalised plans with the service to provide a peer advocacy service for residents.

RECOMMENDATIONS 2010

1. Medication sheets should be correctly and legibly completed and an audit of benzodiazepine prescribing should take place.
2. A system should be put in place to ensure all residents receive six-monthly physical examinations.
3. Prescriptions of restraint, in relation to mechanical restraint under Part 5 of the Rules, must be appropriately signed and copies retained in each individual clinical file where appropriate.