

Report of the Inspector of Mental Health Services 2013

EXECUTIVE CATCHMENT AREA/INTEGRATED SERVICE AREA	North Dublin
HSE AREA	Dublin North East
MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE	North Dublin
APPROVED CENTRE	O'Casey Rooms, Fairview Community Unit
NUMBER OF WARDS	1
NAMES OF UNITS OR WARDS INSPECTED	O'Casey Rooms
TOTAL NUMBER OF BEDS	24
CONDITIONS ATTACHED TO REGISTRATION	None
TYPE OF INSPECTION	Unannounced
DATE OF INSPECTION	21 March 2013

Summary

- The approved centre provided in-patient care for elderly residents of Dublin North East, under the care of the Psychiatry of Old Age team.
- Staff had opened two activities areas during the year which greatly enhanced the care of the residents.
- There was evidence of good quality care, with frequent multidisciplinary reviews, good care planning and team co-ordination.
- The communal spaces remained small and cramped.
- The building was rented and the future location of the service remained uncertain.

OVERVIEW

In 2013, the Inspectorate inspected this Approved Centre against all of the Mental Health Act 2001 (Approved Centres) Regulations 2006.

The Inspectorate was keen to highlight improvements and initiatives carried out in the past year and track progress on the implementation of recommendations made in 2012. In addition to the core inspection process information was also gathered from advocacy reports, service user interviews, staff interviews and photographic evidence collected on the day of the inspection.

DESCRIPTION

The O'Casey Rooms was opened in 2011 to accommodate elderly residents of St. Ita's Hospital, when three of the wards there closed. The approved centre was situated on the first floor of a purpose- built community nursing unit adjacent to St. Vincent's Hospital, Fairview. The unit was accessed by means of a lift and visitors were obliged to sign in and out of the building. The approved centre was bright, modern and clean on the day of inspection. Bedrooms were spacious but communal areas appeared cramped. The building was owned by St. Vincent's Hospital and leased to the HSE North Dublin Mental Health Service. Staff reported uncertainty as to whether this arrangement would continue into the future.

SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE WITH MENTAL HEALTH ACT 2001 (APPROVED CENTRES) REGULATIONS 2006

COMPLIANCE RATING	2011	2012	2013	ARTICLE NUMBERS 2013
Fully Compliant	23	23	24	-
Substantial Compliance	2	5	4	22,26,27,28
Minimal Compliance	3	0	1	29
Not Compliant	0	1	0	-
Not Applicable	3	2	2	17,25

PART ONE: QUALITY OF CARE AND TREATMENT SECTION 51 (1)(b)(i) MENTAL HEALTH ACT 2001

DETAILS OF WARDS IN THE APPROVED CENTRE

WARD	NUMBER OF BEDS	NUMBER OF RESIDENTS	TEAM RESPONSIBLE
O'Casey Rooms	24	24	Psychiatry of Old Age

QUALITY INITIATIVES 2012/2013

- A new drug prescription booklet was developed and training had commenced in its usage.
- New pictures had been hung on the walls in the corridors which enhanced the homely appearance of the premises.
- A patient profile for all clinical files had been developed which outlined personal information, interests, hobbies, likes and dislikes.
- The socialisation programme had been developed to facilitate patients going to the cinema and shops.

PROGRESS ON RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE 2012 APPROVED CENTRE REPORT

1. The activities and recreation programme must be reinstated.

Outcome: This had been done.

2. The approved centre must provide information on diagnoses and treatments including medication in a form appropriate to the needs of residents and families. Information on independent advocacy services must be updated. An information leaflet on the care and services within the O'Casey Rooms must be provided to residents.

Outcome: A housekeeping booklet was available. Information leaflets were on display throughout the approved centre.

3. A clinical psychologist should be appointed to the Psychiatry of Old Age team.

Outcome: There was no psychologist attached to the Psychiatry of Old Age team.

4. Communal seating areas should be expanded so as to provide functional spaces to facilitate social interaction.

Outcome: A second small day room was being used which facilitated sociability between residents.

5. Policies should be reviewed, edited and updated and be specific to the approved centre.

Outcome: This had not been done.

PART TWO: EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE WITH REGULATIONS, RULES AND CODES OF PRACTICE, AND SECTION 60, MHA 2001

2.2 EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE WITH REGULATIONS UNDER MENTAL HEALTH ACT 2001 SECTION 52 (d)

Article 4: Identification of Residents

The registered proprietor shall make arrangements to ensure that each resident is readily identifiable by staff when receiving medication, health care or other services.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

Photo identification was used on clinical files. The names of occupants were written outside each room.

Article 5: Food and Nutrition

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that residents have access to a safe supply of fresh drinking water.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that residents are provided with food and drink in quantities adequate for their needs, which is properly prepared, wholesome and nutritious, involves an element of choice and takes account of any special dietary requirements and is consistent with each resident's individual care plan.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

Fresh drinking water was available. Residents had a choice of menu which was displayed in pictorial form for the benefit of those with impaired memories. Many were on a soft foods diet and the services of a dietician were available.

Article 6 (1-2): Food Safety

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure:

(a) the provision of suitable and sufficient catering equipment, crockery and cutlery

(b) the provision of proper facilities for the refrigeration, storage, preparation, cooking and serving of food, and

(c) that a high standard of hygiene is maintained in relation to the storage, preparation and disposal of food and related refuse.

(2) This regulation is without prejudice to:

(a) the provisions of the Health Act 1947 and any regulations made thereunder in respect of food standards (including labelling) and safety;

(b) any regulations made pursuant to the European Communities Act 1972 in respect of food standards (including labelling) and safety; and

(c) the Food Safety Authority of Ireland Act 1998.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The Environmental Health Officer's Report was available on the day of inspection.

Article 7: Clothing

The registered proprietor shall ensure that:

(1) when a resident does not have an adequate supply of their own clothing the resident is provided with an adequate supply of appropriate individualised clothing with due regard to his or her dignity and bodily integrity at all times;

(2) night clothes are not worn by residents during the day, unless specified in a resident's individual care plan.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

Policies and procedures were available. All residents wore day clothes on the day of inspection. Staff reported that all clothes were labelled on admission and sent for laundering to St. Ita's Hospital.

Article 8: Residents' Personal Property and Possessions

(1) For the purpose of this regulation "personal property and possessions" means the belongings and personal effects that a resident brings into an approved centre; items purchased by or on behalf of a resident during his or her stay in an approved centre; and items and monies received by the resident during his or her stay in an approved centre.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written operational policies and procedures relating to residents' personal property and possessions.

(3) The registered proprietor shall ensure that a record is maintained of each resident's personal property and possessions and is available to the resident in accordance with the approved centre's written policy.

(4) The registered proprietor shall ensure that records relating to a resident's personal property and possessions are kept separately from the resident's individual care plan.

(5) The registered proprietor shall ensure that each resident retains control of his or her personal property and possessions except under circumstances where this poses a danger to the resident or others as indicated by the resident's individual care plan.

(6) The registered proprietor shall ensure that provision is made for the safe-keeping of all personal property and possessions.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

A policy was available. A record of personal property and possessions was kept in the clinical files. In the case of one clinical file examined the record had been omitted. Money was kept for most residents in their account in St. Ita's Hospital. Staff reported €10-€20 was maintained on the ward for day to day use of residents. A record was kept of withdrawals made and these were countersigned. Residents kept most of their belongings in their own rooms. Staff reported application could be made to the hospital manager for funding for clothes and staff accompanied residents to shops as needed.

Article 9: Recreational Activities

The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre, insofar as is practicable, provides access for residents to appropriate recreational activities.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

Newspapers were supplied for use of all residents. There were TVs in the bedrooms and residents had access to DVDs. On the day of inspection, some residents were doing jigsaws and drawing pictures in a group format so that social interaction was being encouraged.

Article 10: Religion

The registered proprietor shall ensure that residents are facilitated, insofar as is reasonably practicable, in the practice of their religion.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

A policy was available. Staff reported that residents had access to their Ministers of religion.

Article 11 (1-6): Visits

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that appropriate arrangements are made for residents to receive visitors having regard to the nature and purpose of the visit and the needs of the resident.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that reasonable times are identified during which a resident may receive visits.

(3) The registered proprietor shall take all reasonable steps to ensure the safety of residents and visitors.

(4) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the freedom of a resident to receive visits and the privacy of a resident during visits are respected, in so far as is practicable, unless indicated otherwise in the resident's individual care plan.

(5) The registered proprietor shall ensure that appropriate arrangements and facilities are in place for children visiting a resident.

(6) The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre has written operational policies and procedures for visits.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

A policy on visiting was available. There was a visiting room for the use of visitors and residents also had the use of their own rooms. Staff reported visitors were encouraged and times were displayed.

Article 12 (1-4): Communication

(1) Subject to subsections (2) and (3), the registered proprietor and the clinical director shall ensure that the resident is free to communicate at all times, having due regard to his or her wellbeing, safety and health.

(2) The clinical director, or a senior member of staff designated by the clinical director, may only examine incoming and outgoing communication if there is reasonable cause to believe that the communication may result in harm to the resident or to others.

(3) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written operational policies and procedures on communication.

(4) For the purposes of this regulation "communication" means the use of mail, fax, email, internet, telephone or any device for the purposes of sending or receiving messages or goods.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

A policy was available which included a policy on the use of mobile phones.

Article 13: Searches

- (1) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written operational policies and procedures on the searching of a resident, his or her belongings and the environment in which he or she is accommodated.*
- (2) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that searches are only carried out for the purpose of creating and maintaining a safe and therapeutic environment for the residents and staff of the approved centre.*
- (3) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written operational policies and procedures for carrying out searches with the consent of a resident and carrying out searches in the absence of consent.*
- (4) *Without prejudice to subsection (3) the registered proprietor shall ensure that the consent of the resident is always sought.*
- (5) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that residents and staff are aware of the policy and procedures on searching.*
- (6) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that there is be a minimum of two appropriately qualified staff in attendance at all times when searches are being conducted.*
- (7) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that all searches are undertaken with due regard to the resident's dignity, privacy and gender.*
- (8) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that the resident being searched is informed of what is happening and why.*
- (9) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that a written record of every search is made, which includes the reason for the search.*
- (10) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written operational policies and procedures in relation to the finding of illicit substances.*

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

Appropriate policies were available. Staff reported no searches had taken place in 2013 to the date of inspection.

Article 14 (1-5): Care of the Dying

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written operational policies and protocols for care of residents who are dying.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that when a resident is dying:

(a) appropriate care and comfort are given to a resident to address his or her physical, emotional, psychological and spiritual needs;

(b) in so far as practicable, his or her religious and cultural practices are respected;

(c) the resident's death is handled with dignity and propriety, and;

(d) in so far as is practicable, the needs of the resident's family, next-of-kin and friends are accommodated.

(3) The registered proprietor shall ensure that when the sudden death of a resident occurs:

(a) in so far as practicable, his or her religious and cultural practices are respected;

(b) the resident's death is handled with dignity and propriety, and;

(c) in so far as is practicable, the needs of the resident's family, next-of-kin and friends are accommodated.

(4) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the Mental Health Commission is notified in writing of the death of any resident of the approved centre, as soon as is practicable and in any event, no later than within 48 hours of the death occurring.

(5) This Regulation is without prejudice to the provisions of the Coroners Act 1962 and the Coroners (Amendment) Act 2005.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

Staff reported that no deaths had taken place in the approved centre in 2013 to the date of inspection. A policy was available.

Article 15: Individual Care Plan

The registered proprietor shall ensure that each resident has an individual care plan.

[Definition of an individual care plan: "... a documented set of goals developed, regularly reviewed and updated by the resident's multi-disciplinary team, so far as practicable in consultation with each resident. The individual care plan shall specify the treatment and care required which shall be in accordance with best practice, shall identify necessary resources and shall specify appropriate goals for the resident. For a resident who is a child, his or her individual care plan shall include education requirements. The individual care plan shall be recorded in the one composite set of documentation".]

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>		X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>	X		
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

All residents had individual care and treatment plans (ICPs) and there was evidence of multidisciplinary team (MDT) involvement and of good coordination of care between the MDT members. Integrated and sequential record keeping was used in the approved centre. There was evidence of frequent psychiatric and medical reviews. There was space on the ICPs for the resident to sign or refuse to sign and the reason for this. This section was not always completed.

Article 16: Therapeutic Services and Programmes

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that each resident has access to an appropriate range of therapeutic services and programmes in accordance with his or her individual care plan.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that programmes and services provided shall be directed towards restoring and maintaining optimal levels of physical and psychosocial functioning of a resident.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>			X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>		X	
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>	X		
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

There were two activities rooms in the approved centre which were in use on the day of inspection, in which a range of activities were provided. These had been reopened during the year and were staffed by a registered psychiatric nurse (RPN) and health care assistant (HCA). The Pool Activity Level (PAL) assessment was used. The occupational therapist (OT) had completed the assessments and identified the appropriate cognitive and sensory programme levels appropriate for each individual. Nursing and OT staff worked together to provide therapy programmes.

Article 17: Children's Education

Children were not admitted to the approved centre.

Article 18: Transfer of Residents

(1) When a resident is transferred from an approved centre for treatment to another approved centre, hospital or other place, the registered proprietor of the approved centre from which the resident is being transferred shall ensure that all relevant information about the resident is provided to the receiving approved centre, hospital or other place.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has a written policy and procedures on the transfer of residents.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

A policy was available. One resident had been transferred to the Mater Hospital on the day of inspection. The transfer was for urgent medical reasons. There was no record in the clinical file, at the time of Inspection, but staff reported this would be done later in the day.

Article 19 (1-2): General Health

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that:

(a) adequate arrangements are in place for access by residents to general health services and for their referral to other health services as required;

(b) each resident's general health needs are assessed regularly as indicated by his or her individual care plan and in any event not less than every six months, and;

(c) each resident has access to national screening programmes where available and applicable to the resident.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written operational policies and procedures for responding to medical emergencies.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The general health needs of the residents were looked after by their general practitioners (GPs) who visited the approved centre twice weekly, or as needed. A log was kept in the clinical files of due dates for six-monthly reviews and whether these had been done. The six-monthly reviews of all residents whose clinical files were reviewed had been completed.

Article 20 (1-2): Provision of Information to Residents

(1) Without prejudice to any provisions in the Act the registered proprietor shall ensure that the following information is provided to each resident in an understandable form and language:

(a) details of the resident's multi-disciplinary team;

(b) housekeeping practices, including arrangements for personal property, mealtimes, visiting times and visiting arrangements;

(c) verbal and written information on the resident's diagnosis and suitable written information relevant to the resident's diagnosis unless in the resident's psychiatrist's view the provision of such information might be prejudicial to the resident's physical or mental health, well-being or emotional condition;

(d) details of relevant advocacy and voluntary agencies;

(e) information on indications for use of all medications to be administered to the resident, including any possible side-effects.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre has written operational policies and procedures for the provision of information to residents.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>			X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>	X		
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>		X	

Justification for this rating:

A range of information leaflets were available throughout the approved centre. Staff reported these were mostly used by relatives. A housekeeping booklet was given to each resident on admission. A representative of the Irish Advocacy Network visited monthly.

Article 21: Privacy

The registered proprietor shall ensure that the resident's privacy and dignity is appropriately respected at all times.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

All windows had privacy curtains. Accommodation was in single or double rooms and privacy curtains were provided. Bathrooms had locks which could be over-ridden in case of emergency.

Article 22: Premises

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that:

(a) premises are clean and maintained in good structural and decorative condition;

(b) premises are adequately lit, heated and ventilated;

(c) a programme of routine maintenance and renewal of the fabric and decoration of the premises is developed and implemented and records of such programme are maintained.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre has adequate and suitable furnishings having regard to the number and mix of residents in the approved centre.

(3) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the condition of the physical structure and the overall approved centre environment is developed and maintained with due regard to the specific needs of residents and patients and the safety and well-being of residents, staff and visitors.

(4) Any premises in which the care and treatment of persons with a mental disorder or mental illness is begun after the commencement of these regulations shall be designed and developed or redeveloped specifically and solely for this purpose in so far as it practicable and in accordance with best contemporary practice.

(5) Any approved centre in which the care and treatment of persons with a mental disorder or mental illness is begun after the commencement of these regulations shall ensure that the buildings are, as far as practicable, accessible to persons with disabilities.

(6) This regulation is without prejudice to the provisions of the Building Control Act 1990, the Building Regulations 1997 and 2001, Part M of the Building Regulations 1997, the Disability Act 2005 and the Planning and Development Act 2000.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X		
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>		X	X
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

On the day of inspection the modern premises was clean and well maintained. Bedrooms were ensuite and spacious. Staff were using two activity rooms which necessitated enhanced staffing levels as they were some distance apart. The second had originally been intended for use as a visitors' room and was small. The sitting room was also small and appeared overcrowded on the day of inspection. An outside roof garden area was bare and poorly furnished.

Breach: 22(3)

Article 23 (1-2): Ordering, Prescribing, Storing and Administration of Medicines

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre has appropriate and suitable practices and written operational policies relating to the ordering, prescribing, storing and administration of medicines to residents.

(2) This Regulation is without prejudice to the Irish Medicines Board Act 1995 (as amended), the Misuse of Drugs Acts 1977, 1984 and 1993, the Misuse of Drugs Regulations 1998 (S.I. No. 338 of 1998) and 1993 (S.I. No. 338 of 1993 and S.I. No. 342 of 1993) and S.I. No. 540 of 2003, Medicinal Products (Prescription and control of Supply) Regulations 2003 (as amended).

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

A policy was available on the ordering, prescribing and storing and administration of medication.

Article 24 (1-2): Health and Safety

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre has written operational policies and procedures relating to the health and safety of residents, staff and visitors.

(2) This regulation is without prejudice to the provisions of Health and Safety Act 1989, the Health and Safety at Work Act 2005 and any regulations made thereunder.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

A Health and Safety statement was available.

Article 25: Use of Closed Circuit Television (CCTV)

CCTV was not used in the approved centre.

Article 26: Staffing

- (1) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written policies and procedures relating to the recruitment, selection and vetting of staff.*
- (2) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that the numbers of staff and skill mix of staff are appropriate to the assessed needs of residents, the size and layout of the approved centre.*
- (3) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that there is an appropriately qualified staff member on duty and in charge of the approved centre at all times and a record thereof maintained in the approved centre.*
- (4) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that staff have access to education and training to enable them to provide care and treatment in accordance with best contemporary practice.*
- (5) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that all staff members are made aware of the provisions of the Act and all regulations and rules made thereunder, commensurate with their role.*
- (6) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that a copy of the Act and any regulations and rules made thereunder are to be made available to all staff in the approved centre.*

WARD OR UNIT	STAFF TYPE	DAY	NIGHT
O'Casey Rooms	ADON	0.5	0
	CNM3	0.5	0.5
	CNM2	2	0
	Staff Nurse (of which 1 is RPN)	4	3
	Nursing interns	1	0
	Health Care Assistants	3	2

Clinical Nurse Manager (CNM), Registered Psychiatric Nurse (RPN), Non Consultant Hospital Doctor (NCHD), Director of Nursing, (DON), Assistant Director of Nursing (ADON).

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>			
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>	X	X	X
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The multidisciplinary team included the services of the consultant psychiatrist and psychiatric registrar, occupational therapy and social work but the team did not include psychology services. Staff reported speech and language, dentistry and opticians' services could be accessed through the primary community and continuing care (PCCC) department of the HSE. Chiropody services were accessed independently by residents. A record was available of training undertaken by staff in 2013.

Breach: 26(2)

Article 27: Maintenance of Records

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that records and reports shall be maintained in a manner so as to ensure completeness, accuracy and ease of retrieval. All records shall be kept up-to-date and in good order in a safe and secure place.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre has written policies and procedures relating to the creation of, access to, retention of and destruction of records.

(3) The registered proprietor shall ensure that all documentation of inspections relating to food safety, health and safety and fire inspections is maintained in the approved centre.

(4) This Regulation is without prejudice to the provisions of the Data Protection Acts 1988 and 2003 and the Freedom of Information Acts 1997 and 2003.

The Inspectorate did not inspect and has no expertise in assessing fire risk

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>			
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>		X	X
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>	X		
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

A Health and Safety statement was available. Staff reported that the recent Fire Inspection Reports were held in St. Vincent's Hospital. The most recent report was not available to the Inspectorate on the day of inspection.

The clinical records were sometimes bulky and information was hard to find. There were loose pages in some records which increased the risk they might be mislaid. Admission dates were sometimes hard to ascertain. There was no section for correspondence which affected the availability of information on continuity of care. Assessment documentation, while extensive was not always completed. In one instance the reader was referred from the assessment document to the nursing Kardex for information on 'treatment at time of assessment', which gave rise to confusion about whether the treatment predated the assessment.

Each clinical record was kept in a box and some of the records were in the box but not in the clinical file.

While there was evidence in the clinical file of contributions from a variety of clinicians, including dentistry, dieticians, chiropody and opticians, the contributions of the different disciplines were sometimes hard to identify. A policy was available.

Breach: 27(1)(3)

Article 28: Register of Residents

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that an up-to-date register shall be established and maintained in relation to every resident in an approved centre in a format determined by the Commission and shall make available such information to the Commission as and when requested by the Commission.

(2) The registered proprietor shall ensure that the register includes the information specified in Schedule 1 to these Regulations.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			X
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The register did not include all the information required of the Regulations.

Breach: 28(2)

Article 29: Operating policies and procedures

The registered proprietor shall ensure that all written operational policies and procedures of an approved centre are reviewed on the recommendation of the Inspector or the Commission and at least every 3 years having due regard to any recommendations made by the Inspector or the Commission.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X		
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>		X	
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			X
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The approved centre had policies and procedures, most of which were in date. However, many of the policies were generalised to the wider mental health service and not applicable to the approved centre. The need for review and editing of the policies was identified in the Inspection Report of 2012. The policy on Physical Restraint was out of date.

Breach: 29

Article 30: Mental Health Tribunals

(1) The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre will co-operate fully with Mental Health Tribunals.

(2) In circumstances where a patient's condition is such that he or she requires assistance from staff of the approved centre to attend, or during, a sitting of a mental health tribunal of which he or she is the subject, the registered proprietor shall ensure that appropriate assistance is provided by the staff of the approved centre.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	NOT APPLICABLE		X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>		X	
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

There were no residents who were involuntarily detained in the approved centre on the day of inspection. The approved centre cooperated with Mental Health Tribunals and facilitated patients to attend where required.

Article 31: Complaint Procedures

- (1) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre has written operational policies and procedures relating to the making, handling and investigating complaints from any person about any aspects of service, care and treatment provided in, or on behalf of an approved centre.*
- (2) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that each resident is made aware of the complaints procedure as soon as is practicable after admission.*
- (3) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that the complaints procedure is displayed in a prominent position in the approved centre.*
- (4) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that a nominated person is available in an approved centre to deal with all complaints.*
- (5) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that all complaints are investigated promptly.*
- (6) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that the nominated person maintains a record of all complaints relating to the approved centre.*
- (7) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that all complaints and the results of any investigations into the matters complained and any actions taken on foot of a complaint are fully and properly recorded and that such records shall be in addition to and distinct from a resident's individual care plan.*
- (8) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that any resident who has made a complaint is not adversely affected by reason of the complaint having been made.*
- (9) *This Regulation is without prejudice to Part 9 of the Health Act 2004 and any regulations made thereunder.*

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

A policy was available. Staff reported that no complaint had been made in 2013. The hospital manager, who was not based on site was the nominated complaints officer.

The complaints procedure was displayed in the approved centre and was also included in the residents' information leaflet. The key worker and the CNM were the persons identified to deal with complaints in the first instance.

Article 32: Risk Management Procedures

- (1) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre has a comprehensive written risk management policy in place and that it is implemented throughout the approved centre.*
- (2) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that risk management policy covers, but is not limited to, the following:*
- (a) *The identification and assessment of risks throughout the approved centre;*
 - (b) *The precautions in place to control the risks identified;*
 - (c) *The precautions in place to control the following specified risks:*
 - (i) *resident absent without leave,*
 - (ii) *suicide and self harm,*
 - (iii) *assault,*
 - (iv) *accidental injury to residents or staff;*
 - (d) *Arrangements for the identification, recording, investigation and learning from serious or untoward incidents or adverse events involving residents;*
 - (e) *Arrangements for responding to emergencies;*
 - (f) *Arrangements for the protection of children and vulnerable adults from abuse.*
- (3) *The registered proprietor shall ensure that an approved centre shall maintain a record of all incidents and notify the Mental Health Commission of incidents occurring in the approved centre with due regard to any relevant codes of practice issued by the Mental Health Commission from time to time which have been notified to the approved centre.*

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

An appropriate Risk Management Policy was available. A risk manager was identified. Staff reported there had been no serious clinical incidents in 2013 to the date of Inspection.

Article 33: Insurance

The registered proprietor of an approved centre shall ensure that the unit is adequately insured against accidents or injury to residents.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The approved centre was indemnified under the State indemnity scheme.

Article 34: Certificate of Registration

The registered proprietor shall ensure that the approved centre's current certificate of registration issued pursuant to Section 64(3)(c) of the Act is displayed in a prominent position in the approved centre.

LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE	DESCRIPTION	2011	2012	2013
Fully compliant	<i>Evidence of full compliance with this Article.</i>	X	X	X
Substantial compliance	<i>Evidence of substantial compliance with this Article but additional improvement needed.</i>			
Minimal compliance	<i>Effort has been made to achieve compliance with this Article but significant improvement is still needed.</i>			
Not compliant	<i>Service was unable to demonstrate structures or processes to be compliant with this Article.</i>			

Justification for this rating:

The Certificate of Registration was on display in the approved centre.

2.3 EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE WITH RULES – MENTAL HEALTH ACT 2001 SECTION 52 (d)

SECLUSION

Use: Seclusion was not used in the approved centre.

Electroconvulsive Therapy (ECT) (DETAINED PATIENTS)

Use: ECT was not used in the approved centre. Where ECT treatment was required, patients were transferred to St. Patrick's University Hospital for the duration of the course of treatment. Staff reported that one of the current residents had been transferred to St.Patrick's Hospital in late 2012 whilst of detained status for the administration of ECT. An examination of the clinical file showed that the appropriate statutory form had been completed and all documentation was in order.

MECHANICAL RESTRAINT

Use: Mechanical restraint was not used in the approved centre other than Part 5 for enduring self-harming behaviour.

SECTION	DESCRIPTION	FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
1	General principles	NOT APPLICABLE			
14	Orders	NOT APPLICABLE			
15	Patient dignity and safety	NOT APPLICABLE			
16	Ending mechanical restraint	NOT APPLICABLE			
17	Recording use of mechanical restraint	NOT APPLICABLE			
18	Clinical governance	NOT APPLICABLE			
19	Staff training	NOT APPLICABLE			
20	Child patients	NOT APPLICABLE			
21	Part 5: Use of mechanical means of bodily restraint for enduring self-harming behaviour	X			

Justification for this rating:

The individual clinical files of three residents who were protected from self-harming behaviour through the use of lap belts were inspected. The lap belts in use were also examined. All documentation was in order and recorded to a good standard. The service used a template document to facilitate accurate recording and prescribing.

2.4 EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE WITH CODES OF PRACTICE – MENTAL HEALTH ACT 2001 SECTION 51 (iii)

PHYSICAL RESTRAINT

Use: No resident had been physically restrained.

SECTION	DESCRIPTION	FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
1	General principles	NOT APPLICABLE			
5	Orders	NOT APPLICABLE			
6	Resident dignity and safety	NOT APPLICABLE			
7	Ending physical restraint	NOT APPLICABLE			
8	Recording use of physical restraint	NOT APPLICABLE			
9	Clinical governance		X		
10	Staff training	X			
11	Child residents	NOT APPLICABLE			

Justification for this rating:

The policy on the use of physical restraint was out of date and was also a service wide policy and not specific to the approved centre. No resident had been physically restrained in the approved centre since it opened.

Breach: 9.2(a)

ADMISSION OF CHILDREN

Description: Children were not admitted to the approved centre.

NOTIFICATION OF DEATHS AND INCIDENT REPORTING

Description: There had been no deaths in the approved centre in 2013 up to the date of inspection.

SECTION	DESCRIPTION	FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
2	Notification of deaths	NOT APPLICABLE			
3	Incident reporting	X			
4	Clinical governance (identified risk manager)	X			

Justification for this rating:

The approved centre notified incidents to the Mental Health Commission as required. The incident reporting book was inspected and was well maintained with a clear action and resolution aspects where required. There was a falls assessment and management programme in place. There was an identified risk manager with responsibility for mental health services.

Electroconvulsive Therapy (ECT) FOR VOLUNTARY PATIENTS

Use: The approved centre did not administer ECT. No resident was in receipt of a course of ECT in another hospital on the day of inspection.

ADMISSION, TRANSFER AND DISCHARGE

Part 2 Enabling Good Practice through Effective Governance

The following aspects were considered: 4. policies and protocols, 5. privacy confidentiality and consent, 6. staff roles and responsibility, 7. risk management, 8. information transfer, 9. staff information and training.

Level of compliance:

FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
X			

Justification for this rating:

There was an admission, transfer and discharge policy in place. Admissions were planned and there was provision for respite admission also. The roles of the multidisciplinary team were well defined and a key worker system operated. The approved centre was fully compliant with Article 32 on Risk Management and with Article 18 on the Transfer of Residents. Staff training was up to date and recorded.

Part 3 Admission Process

The following aspects were considered: 10. pre-admission process, 11. unplanned referral to an Approved Centre, 12. admission criteria, 13. decision to admit, 14. decision not to admit, 15. assessment following admission, 16. rights and information, 17. individual care and treatment plan, 18. resident and family/carer/advocate involvement, 19. multidisciplinary team involvement, 20. key-worker, 21. collaboration with primary health care community mental health services, relevant outside agencies and information transfer, 22. record-keeping and documentation, 23. day of admission, 24. specific groups.

Level of compliance:

FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
	X		

Justification for this rating:

Most residents had been admitted to the approved centre in 2011 following the closure of Units 1 and 9 in St. Ita’s Hospital. The assessment procedure on admission was comprehensive, but the relevant information was sometimes difficult to locate because the files were bulky and the approved centre was not compliant with Article 27 on the Maintenance of Records.

The individual clinical file of the person most recently admitted was inspected. A mental state and physical assessment had been carried out. The resident had been risk assessed and a range of age appropriate assessment tools had been used. There was an individual care plan in place for the resident.

The individual clinical file of a resident readmitted back to the O’Casey Rooms following treatment in another hospital was inspected. The readmission process was comprehensive and complete and was well recorded in the individual clinical file. The report from the other hospital provided comprehensive information on the current status of the resident. The clinical record was well maintained and information was readily accessible and provided a clear picture of the care pathway for the resident concerned.

The approved centre did not accept unplanned referrals.

All residents whose clinical files were reviewed had individual care plans.

An information booklet on the O’Casey Rooms was available for residents and families.

Breach: 22.6

Part 4 Transfer Process

The following aspects were considered: 25. Transfer criteria, 26. decision to transfer, 27. assessment before transfer, 28. resident involvement, 29. multidisciplinary team involvement, 30. communication between Approved Centre and receiving facility and information transfer, 31. record-keeping and documentation, 32. day of transfer.

Level of compliance:

FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
X			

Justification for this rating:

The individual clinical files of two residents who had been transferred to other treatment centres and had since returned to the O'Casey Rooms were inspected. The decision to transfer was made by the responsible doctor and was for the purpose of treatment and in the best interests of the individual resident. Each resident was re-assessed prior to transfer, including an updated risk assessment. The individual clinical file of one resident who had been transferred was inspected. A nursing transfer form and a medical letter accompanied the resident on transfer. Copies of this documentation were retained in the two individual clinical files. A member of the nursing staff had accompanied the residents on the day of transfer.

Part 5 Discharge Process

The following aspects were considered: 33. Decision to discharge, 34. discharge planning, 35. pre-discharge assessment, 36. multi-disciplinary team involvement, 37. key-worker, 38. collaboration with primary health care, community mental health services, relevant outside agencies and information transfer, 39. resident and family/carer/advocate involvement and information provision, 40. notice of discharge, 41. follow-up and aftercare, 42. record-keeping and documentation, 43. day of discharge, 44. specific groups.

Level of compliance:

FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
X			

Justification for this rating:

The individual clinical file of one resident discharged and readmitted was examined. The discharge planning was excellent with a broad medical and psychosocial approach to care. The individual was risk assessed prior to discharge and community supports had been established, including reengagement with the mental health service. The discharge process was supported through the use of an excellent discharge checklist and protocol.

HOW MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES SHOULD WORK WITH PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY AND MENTAL ILLNESS

Description: No resident had an intellectual disability and a mental illness.

The following aspects were considered: 5. policies, 6. education and training, 7. inter-agency collaboration, 8. individual care and treatment plan, 9. communication issues, 10. environmental considerations, 11. considering the use of restrictive practices, 12. main recommendations, 13. assessing capacity.

Level of compliance:

FULLY COMPLIANT	SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLIANT	MINIMAL COMPLIANCE	NOT COMPLIANT
X			

Justification for this rating:

There was a policy on the management of an individual with an intellectual disability and a mental illness. Staff reported that one member of staff was dual trained in psychiatric and intellectual disability nursing and that a brief training programme had taken place for staff.

**2.5 EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE WITH SECTIONS 60/61 MENTAL HEALTH ACT 2001
(MEDICATION)**

SECTION 60 – ADMINISTRATION OF MEDICINE

Description: No patient was detained for a period in excess of three months and in receipt of medication. Section 60 did not apply.

**SECTION 61 – TREATMENT OF CHILDREN WITH SECTION 25 MENTAL HEALTH ACT 2001
ORDER IN FORCE**

Description: Children were not admitted to the approved centre.

SECTION THREE: OTHER ASPECTS OF THE APPROVED CENTRE

SERVICE USER INTERVIEWS

A number of residents were greeted during the Inspection and they professed themselves content with the service.

ADVOCACY

Contact details for the Irish Advocacy Network were displayed on the notice board, although staff reported that the representative visited infrequently.

OVERALL CONCLUSIONS

The quality of care and treatment in this approved centre seemed excellent, as evidenced by the ICPs, progress notes, and therapeutic activities available to residents. The quality of documentation regarding admission was deficient as was the organisation of the clinical files. The policies had not been reviewed in line with the recommendations of the 2012 Report of the Inspectorate.

RECOMMENDATIONS 2013

1. The introduction of the new clinical files should proceed as soon as possible.
2. Each admission should be clearly identifiable within the clinical records.
3. Policies should be updated so that they are specific to the approved centre. This was also a recommendation of the 2012 report.
4. The policy on Physical Restraint was out of date and should be reviewed.
5. A psychologist should be appointed to the psychiatry of old age team. This was also a recommendation of the 2012 report.
6. The common sitting area should be enlarged.
7. Consideration should be given to accessing ground floor premises with access to a garden for this population.