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Background

Part 11 of The Medical Practitioners Act 2007 is the foundation of the new professional competence system which places a legal duty on doctors to maintain their professional competence by following requirements set by the Medical Council. This comes into effect in May 2011 and as a result it is now obligatory for every practicing GP to conduct at least one audit per year, in order to comply with the requirements of competence assurance. This brief guide is written to help you conduct an audit in your practice that will help meet these requirements.

What is clinical audit?

Audit is a quality improvement process, which seeks to determine if we are doing what we should be doing, and the findings are intended for use at a local (practice) level. In the Medical Council’s Professional Competence guidance booklet, clinical audit is defined as the “systematic review and evaluation of current practice with reference to research based standards [and designed] to improve patient care”. The setting of standards, the measurement of practice compared to a ‘gold standard’, the identification of deficiencies and addressing deficiencies (closing the loop) are the accepted components of clinical audit.

The Medical Council rules (published on January 18th, 2011) specify however that “Audit activities should be focused on the practice of the practitioner and not on the processes”. For example in an audit of diabetes, review HbA1c levels rather than simply whether a blood test for HbA1c has been taken. In some audits, it will be necessary or preferable to include some process elements so these do not have to be excluded; however, the entire audit cannot be based around processes for the purpose of fulfilling your professional competence requirements.

Clinical audit has three elements:

1. Measurement – measuring a specific element of clinical practice
2. Comparison – comparing results with the recognized standard/guideline

**How to carry out an audit**

The steps involved are¹:
1. Choose your topic
2. Define your Aims and Objectives
3. Choose your Guidelines, state your Criteria and set your Standard *Note the criteria are elements of care or activity, which can be measured; your standard (sometimes known as your target) is your desired level of performance and is usually stated as a percentage*.
4. Collect your data
5. Analyze and interpret your data
6. Decide on what changes need to be made and implement them
7. Re-audit your practice.

The report from your audit may be anything from two pages in length but should contain the following information²:
1. Reason for the audit
2. Criterion or criteria to be measured
3. Standard(s) set
4. Description of the preparation and planning
5. Results of the initial data collection
6. Description of change(s) implemented
7. Results of the data collection post changes
8. Conclusions.

Keeping your audit projects relevant to your practice, short, simple and easily manageable is the key to success. Choosing a topic is the first step and there should
be agreement within the practice that the chosen topic for audit is a worthwhile area to study.

**Ethical Considerations**
Clinical audits usually involve looking at information already collected about a patient or treatment and do not usually involve gathering new information. In addition, the data is mainly gathered for internal (practice) consumption in one practice. Hence, audit does not usually require ethical approval. However, if you intend to gather new data, to interview/test patients, to include more than one practice or to publish, you will need to obtain ethical approval.

**Data Protection Considerations**
Clinical audit usually has the potential to be of direct benefit to patients. Where all access to patient identifiable data for internal audit is by GPs or practices on their own practice population, implied consent is acceptable. However, it is important to inform patients that the practice may use data for internal audit with an option for them to opt out of this use of their data. This can be included in a patient information leaflet or privacy statement. It is not acceptable for external research staff to trawl through individual patient records without informed patient consent. It is also not acceptable to release the contact details of patients to researchers without informed patient consent³,⁴.

**Registering your Audit**
The facility to record your audit activity is contained within your Professional Competence ePortfolio on [www.icgp.ie](http://www.icgp.ie). This asks you to record the audit title, start date, end date and a brief description. You can also upload supporting documents, for example, the report. Uploading supporting documents is optional but will facilitate review and validation, should you be selected for same.
Audit Examples
There are of course many possible audit topics within general practice. Some suggested topics with sample criteria are provided in the ICGP audit toolkit along with fully worked examples of the following to demonstrate what is required.

Example 1: Management of Adult Coeliac Disease.
Criteria to be measured: The criteria contained in the Primary Care Society for Gastroenterology in UK and the Clinical Resource Efficiency Support Team (CREST) in Northern Ireland regarding annual review.
Standards set: 40% of patients with coeliac disease should have an annual review.
Data collection tool:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of patients in practice</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients with coeliac disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients with coeliac disease with annual review documented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients with coeliac disease with weight documented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients with coeliac disease with DEXA scan completed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients with coeliac disease with bloods checked in last 12 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients with coeliac disease with EMA checked for compliance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients with coeliac disease who had been reviewed by a dietician</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example 2: Seasonal influenza and pneumococcal immunization in diabetic patients.
Criteria to be measured: Patients with Diabetes Mellitus should have pneumococcal vaccination; Patients with Diabetes Mellitus should have influenza vaccination.
Standard(s) set: Pneumococcal vaccine will be offered to 100% of patients with Diabetes Mellitus; aim is to have an uptake rate of pneumococcal vaccine >95% in patients with Diabetes Mellitus. Annual influenza vaccine will be offered to 100% of patients with Diabetes Mellitus; aim is to have an uptake rate of influenza vaccine >95% in patients with Diabetes Mellitus.
Example 3: Asthma Management in an Irish Suburban General Practice.
Criteria to be measured: A combination of guidelines was examined, but in particular the GINA guidelines as they are the gold standard for asthma care, and the ICGP guidelines, as they are a summary of the latter in an Irish context, were used. The criteria selected was in terms of the recommended annual review of asthma patients. Standard(s) set: Asthmatics should be on a register (90%); Asthmatics should be reviewed in the last year (90%); Adult asthmatics should have their smoking status documented and if smoking should be given brief intervention for smoking cessation (70%); In asthmatics under 18 years, parents should be asked if there are any smokers in the house (70%); As part of the asthma review patients should have their inhaler technique checked and documented (50%); If asthmatics are under 18 they should have their height/weight and centiles documented (70%).

Data Collection tool:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Type 1</th>
<th>Type 2</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPV vaccine given</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV vaccine not given</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV vaccine refused</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flu vaccine given</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flu vaccine not given</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flu vaccine refused</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Collection tool:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Children</th>
<th>Adults</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients in the practice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of asthmatics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of asthmatic on register</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of asthmatics reviewed in last year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number with documented smoking status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number with inhaler technique checked</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number with centiles documented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Further assistance
For elaboration on the steps above, audit examples/suggestions, audit template documents and answers to frequently asked questions, see the ICGP Audit Toolkit on www.icgp.ie

For any audit queries not answered in the audit toolkit, please email your question to professionalcompetence@icgp.ie. These will be used as the basis for a regular feature in Forum magazine and a Frequently Asked Questions section on the ICGP website. It will not be possible to answer individual queries directly.

Disclaimer
The contents of this document are intended as a guide only and although every effort has been made to ensure that the contents are correct, the ICGP or its agents cannot be held responsible for the outcome of any loss or damage that results from the use of this guide. It is accepted that other interpretations of the requirements for audit by the Medical Council may be possible in order for GPs to comply with their audit requirements under the terms of the Medical Practitioners Act 2007.
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