

A&E Mapping and Efficiency Review Across 10 National Hospitals - Overview Report

Health Service Executive

October 2005

Document control sheet

| | |
|---------------------|---|
| Client | Health Service Executive |
| Document Title | A&E Mapping and Efficiency Review Across 10 National Hospitals - Overview Report |
| Version | 02.1 |
| Status | Final Report |
| Reference | 15201 |
| Author | Alison Kilduff |
| Date | October 2005 |
| Further copies from | email: documents@tribalgroupp.co.uk quoting reference and author |

| | |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| Quality assurance by: | Jonathan Pearson |
|-----------------------|------------------|

| Document history | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|---|
| Version | Date | Author | Comments |
| 02.1 | October 2005 | Alison Kilduff | First Draft |
| 02 | 30 th September | Jonathan Pearson | QA First Draft |
| 02.1 | 10 th October | Alison Kilduff | Insertion of amendments from PSG & editing of final draft |

| Contact details | | | |
|------------------------|------------------|--|----------------|
| Main point of contact | Telephone number | Email address | Postal address |
| Alison Kilduff | +44 161 902 1100 | Alison.kilduff@tribalsecta.co.uk | |

Contents

1 Introduction..... 1

1.1 A Modern Trend 1

1.2 Impact of Public Spending Cuts.....2

1.3 System Reform.....3

1.4 The A&E 10 Point Plan.....4

2 A&E Mapping and Efficiency Project6

2.1 Overall Aim.....6

2.2 Project Objectives6

2.3 Format of the Report7

3 Gathering the Learning8

3.1 Related Earlier Work in Ireland.....8

4 Best Practice 10

4.2 Reforming Emergency Care 10

4.3 High Impact Changes 12

4.4 Systematic Review on Reducing Attendances and Waiting Time in Emergency
Departments 17

5 Approach 19

5.1 Participating Organisations..... 19

5.2 Methodology..... 19

5.3 Outputs..... 20

6 System Wide Findings 21

6.1 Precursor..... 21

6.2 Bed Capacity 21

6.3 Location of Emergency Departments..... 22

6.4 Physical Infrastructure of Emergency Departments 23

6.5 Operational Efficiency across Emergency Departments 23

6.6 Role of the Ambulance Service 23

6.7 A System Wide Focus 23

6.8 Lack of focus upon primary care..... 24

6.9 Disproportionate focus on the acute hospital sector 26

- 6.10 Diagnostics..... 27
- 6.11 Workforce Planning & Flexibility 30
- 6.12 Emergency and Elective Mix 31
- 6.13 Finance and the Possibility of Perverse Incentives 31
- 6.14 Delayed Discharges 32
- 6.15 Governance Mechanisms..... 34
- 6.16 Information and ICT..... 34
- 6.17 Data Consistency & Quality 35
- 7 Management of Patient Flow 36
- 7.1 A Four Part Perspective 36
- 7.2 Flow 1: Emergency Presentation & Demand Management 37
- 7.3 Flow 2: Emergency Department & Flow 38
- 7.3 Flow 3: Patient Throughput..... 44
- 7.4 Flow 3: Patient Throughput..... 44
- 7.5 Flow 4: Discharge..... 47
- 8 The Way Forward 50
- 8.1 Emergency and Urgent Care Focus 50
- 8.2 A Whole System Approach..... 50
- 8.3 System Reform..... 51
- 8.4 Disease Management..... 52
- 8.5 Capacity 52
- 8.6 Infrastructure 53
- 8.7 Finance 53
- 8.8 Incentives 54
- 8.9 Operating Framework..... 54
- 8.10 Issues and Recommendations 56

Appendices

- Appendix 1: Data Request..... - 60 -

Foreword

This report presents the findings of a nationally commissioned piece of work across ten hospitals to identify how the application of best practice could improve the pathway of patients from admission from the emergency department to discharge, maximising the appropriate utilisation of existing acute capacity. This is one part of a number of initiatives co-ordinated through the Health Service Executive to improve the delivery of responsive and patient-centred services throughout the State.

The Project Team would like to acknowledge the full co-operation and participation of all the executive, management and clinical teams across the ten hospitals, without whose support and flexibility this project would not have been possible. We would also like to thank the National Hospitals Office Core Team and the Hospital Network Managers for their assistance in co-ordinating the project throughout.

Finally we would thank the Project Steering Group for their time and input to the planning of the project and the recommendations contained in this report.