Hand injuries in foreign labour workers in an Irish university hospital
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On May 1, 2004, 10 countries (Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Malta, Poland, Slovakia and Slovenia) joined the European Union (EU). Following this, the total immigration flow into Ireland in the 12 months from May 2004 to April 2005 is estimated to be 70,000 – a record high since the annual migration estimates began in 1987. Over one-third (38%) of all immigrants were nationals of the 10 new EU accession states, mostly from Poland and Lithuania (1).

In the fourth quarter of 2005, there were 65,000 immigrants from the new accession states working in Ireland, which constitutes 36% of all non-nationals in the Irish labour force. In 2003, those 10 countries contributed only 8% to the non-Irish labour force (2).

The present study focussed on this new, rapidly growing patient subpopulation presenting with hand injuries to the Department of Plastic Surgery in Cork University Hospital (Ireland) from 2000 to 2005.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

The present study is registered and approved by the Cork University Hospital Quality Unit. All hand injuries presenting to the Department of Plastic Surgery from July to December 2005 were analysed and compared with hand injuries treated in the department within the previous five years. Of special interest were patients from the 10 countries that joined the EU on the May 1, 2004. Computer data, patient charts and operation records were used to extract the following data:

- nationality;
- nature and place of injury;
- age, sex, dexterity, occupation and smoking habits;
- line of management undertaken;
- patient compliance and follow-up;
- English proficiency/need for interpreter services; and
- type of health insurance.

All data extracted were computerised on FileMaker Pro 7 (FileMaker Inc, United Kingdom), classified and tabled.

RESULTS

Progression of patient numbers

Over the past six years, the total number of patients with hand injuries presenting to the Department of Plastic Surgery remained relatively stable, ranging from 798 in 2000 to 762 in 2005 (Table 1).

On examining the number of patients originating from the 10 new EU accession states, major changes can be noticed. In 2000, not a single patient from the EU group presented to the hand service. Comparing 2003 with 2004, the patient numbers of the EU group more than doubled from 18 (2.4%) to 41 (4.9%) in absolute and relative figures. This trend continued in 2005 when the patient number jumped to 71 (9.3%) (Table 1).

Demographic data and pattern of injury of the EU group in 2005

From July to December 2005, a total of 762 patients presented to our service with hand injuries; 71 (9.3%) of these were from the ten new EU accession states (Table 1). In this subgroup, there were 67 men (94%) and four women (6%), and the mean age was 36 years. Forty-eight patients (68%) were smokers.
Progressive increase of patients from 10 new European Union (EU) accession states* presenting with hand injuries to Cork University Hospital (2000 to 2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year (July–December)</th>
<th>Total number of patients with hand injuries</th>
<th>Number (%) of patients with hand injuries from the new EU countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>71 (9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>839</td>
<td>41 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>18 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>5 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>2 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>798</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Malta, Poland, Slovakia and Slovenia joined the EU May 1, 2004

TABLE 2
Place of injury (71 patients)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place of injury</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At work</td>
<td>62 (87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leisure activity</td>
<td>6 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At home</td>
<td>3 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With regard to the place of injury, 62 patients (87%) sustained their injuries at work, three at home (4%) and six (9%) during leisure activity (Table 2).

The majority of the patients were construction workers (52%). The remainder were factory workers (20%), farm workers (11%), butchers (7%) and carpenters (7%). One was a student and one patient was unemployed (Table 3).

Thirty-five injuries affected the dominant hand (49%). Fifty-six patients (79%) presented with simple hand injuries and 15 (21%) with complex hand injuries. Postoperatively, 20 patients (28%) returned to their home country. Ten of those had complex hand injuries. With regard to compliance, 29 of 71 patients (41%) failed to attend the outpatient clinic for follow-up. None of the 71 patients had health insurance in Ireland.

Progression of translator costs

At the same time as the number of patients from the 10 new EU accession states presenting for treatment at the Department of Plastic Surgery increased, there was a corresponding increase in the cost for translator services. In 2000, €5,874 were spent on interpreters. This figure multiplied by a factor of six in 2004, when €44,410 were spent on translator services. This figure rose by another 50% in 2005, when €44,410 were spent on translators (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

When Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Malta, Poland, Slovakia and Slovenia joined the EU on May 1, 2004, the flow of 70,000 immigrants into Ireland over the following 12 months was the highest ever recorded since migration estimates began in 1987 (1). Over one-third of all immigrants (38%) were nationals of the 10 new EU accession states (1). They are the fastest growing group within the non-Irish labour force, increasing from just under 30,000 in the last quarter of 2004 to over 65,000 (36%) in the last quarter of 2005 (2).

This migration process has not only had an impact on the Irish economy, but as our study shows, has also placed a significant burden of care on the Irish health care system. Since 2000, the total number of patients with hand injuries presenting to our services remained relatively stable, whereas the number of patients originating from the 10 new EU accession states increased dramatically over the past six years. From July to December 2000, not a single patient of the group in question presented to our hand services. In contrast, this number jumped to 71 (9.3%) in the period from July to December 2005.

Employment in the construction industry continued to grow strongly in 2005, with 25,800 new construction workers employed. In the fourth quarter of 2005, foreign nationals accounted for 10% of both the construction and production industry sector (2).

These figures were reflected in the patient population we focussed on. Almost three-quarters were construction workers (52%) and factory workers (20%). Most of the other patients were also employed in accident-prone sectors (farming, the meat trade, carpentry). Because almost nine of 10 patients sustained their injuries at work, the question must be asked as to whether there are potentially increased risk factors operating in the foreign workers’ new working environment. The relative risk of a hand injury is increased when working with equipment operated in a different manner, using a different work method to do the task, doing an unusual task or doing a task under a significant time constraint (3). In our patient population, there was at least one risk factor present for most individuals. Many performed tasks that they did not perform in their home countries, or performed differently. Because proficiency in the English language was limited in most patients, it is unlikely that job descriptions and safety regulations were fully understood. With safety training, protection gear, correct job allocation and job

Can J Plast Surg Vol 17 No 1 Spring 2009 23

Injuries in foreign labour workers in Ireland

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction worker</td>
<td>37 (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factory worker</td>
<td>14 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>8 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butcher</td>
<td>5 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenter</td>
<td>5 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Malta, Poland, Slovakia and Slovenia joined the EU May 1, 2004
experience, the incidence of occupational acute hand injuries can be reduced (3). It is important, therefore, that these workers are trained to use machinery and equipment properly and that their workers rights are acknowledged.

Only one of the 71 patients was unemployed. This young, predominantly male, patient group must be considered highly motivated to work, because in the fourth quarter of 2005 the seasonally adjusted overall unemployment rate in Ireland was 4.4%.

In addition, our focus group proved to be a difficult patient population with regard to their compliance. Postoperatively, more than one-quarter returned to their home countries and were lost to follow-up. Fifty per cent of these patients had complex hand injuries. This is problematic, because patients with more serious hand injuries are more likely to have significant long-term impairment of hand function (4). The reasons to leave Ireland varied. Some patients did not get paid sick leave and felt that the cost of living was lower in their home country. Others hoped to have better health insurance coverage in their home country because they did not have any health insurance in Ireland (none of the 71 patients of our focus group had health insurance in Ireland).

With regard to compliance, two of five failed to attend our outpatient clinic for follow-up. This is a disappointing figure, taking into account that more time was spent in the clinic and on ward level especially on patients with limited English. In some cases, interpreting services were used on several occasions (on initial contact in the clinic, preoperatively to get informed consent, postoperatively, before discharge, etc).

The increased use of interpreting services is reflected in increased expenditure on translators in Cork University Hospital. Whereas in 2000, less than €6,000 were spent on interpreters, this figure rose to nearly €45,000 in 2005. This equates to an increase of 750% within a six-year period. Like other authors, we believe that this expenditure is necessary for patient safety and a good functional outcome, because language barriers and nonprofessional ad hoc interpretations can have potentially negative clinical consequences (5,6).

**CONCLUSION**

We have seen a new, fast-growing patient population presenting with hand injuries at the Department of Plastic Surgery in Cork University Hospital. This predominantly young and male non-Irish labour force is highly motivated to work but has very limited knowledge of the English language, which most likely puts them at increased risk of injury at the workplace and also makes the treatment of their injury more difficult because of communication difficulties. In addition, compliance is an issue and would appear to be mainly due to being under huge pressure to return to work as soon as possible, or the need to return to their country of origin. Interpreter services are vital for safe and effective treatment of their injuries. Furthermore, it is important that these workers get trained on their job and that their workers rights are acknowledged.
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