Towards tuberculosis elimination: an action framework for low-incidence countries

ABSTRACT
This paper describes an action framework for countries with low tuberculosis (TB) incidence (≤100 TB cases per million population) that are striving for TB elimination. The framework sets out priority interventions required for these countries to progress first towards “pre-elimination” (<10 cases per million) and eventually the elimination of TB as a public health problem (less than one case per million). TB epidemiology in most low-incidence countries is characterised by a low rate of transmission in the general population, occasional outbreaks, a majority of TB cases generated from progression of latent TB infection (LTBI) rather than local transmission, concentration to certain vulnerable and hard-to-reach risk groups, and challenges posed by cross-border migration. Common health system challenges are that political commitment, funding, clinical expertise and general awareness of TB diminish as TB incidence falls. The framework presents a tailored response to these challenges, grouped into eight priority action areas: 1) ensure political commitment, funding and stewardship for planning and essential services; 2) address the most vulnerable and hard-to-reach groups; 3) address special needs of migrants and cross-border issues; 4) undertake screening for active TB and LTBI in TB contacts and selected high-risk groups, and provide appropriate treatment; 5) optimise the prevention and care of drug-resistant TB; 6) ensure continued surveillance, programme monitoring and evaluation and case-based data management; 7) invest in research and new tools; and 8) support global TB prevention, care and control. The overall approach needs to be multisectoral, focusing on equitable access to high-quality diagnosis and care, and on addressing the social determinants of TB. Because of increasing globalisation and population mobility, the response needs to have both national and global dimensions.
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Introduction
Tuberculosis (TB) remains a major public health problem predominantly affecting low- and middle-income countries. It kills 1.5 million people every year [1]. It is a persistent health threat in high-income countries too, especially among immigrants and the poorest and most vulnerable parts of the population [2–7].

With a vision to progress towards finally eliminating this ancient scourge, the World Health Organization (WHO) has developed a global TB strategy with a perspective beyond 2015 [8]. Its vision, goal, targets and main intervention elements are summarised in table 1. The global strategy includes milestones towards a long-term vision to eliminate TB as a public health problem (defined as less than one case of TB per million population). It includes a goal to reduce global TB incidence from >1000 cases per million population today to <100 cases per million by 2035 [9].

The global strategy emphasises the need for country adaptation and prioritisation according to the local epidemiology and the healthcare systems. With the anticipated global progress, a growing number of countries will in the future enter into the low-incidence category, that is, countries that reach <100 TB cases (all forms) per million population. These countries will need to progress further towards pre-elimination (<10 cases per million) and eventually elimination. This will require additional actions to improve access to high-quality TB services especially for vulnerable groups, as well as efforts to address the underlying determinants that put people at risk of TB. In a globalised world, TB will not be sustainably eliminated in any country until it is eliminated globally. This interdependency calls for joint and intensified efforts on TB prevention, care and control in all countries (fig. 1).

This paper presents the first global framework towards TB elimination in low-incidence countries. It draws upon and consolidates previous national and regional frameworks [3, 10–15], while incorporating new tools and approaches [16] and harmonising with the structure and principles of the new global strategy [8].

We first summarise the epidemiological basis for TB elimination in low-incidence countries. We then review the key challenges and identify eight priority action areas. Finally, we discuss mechanisms for partner involvement and international collaboration. The methods are summarised in table 2.

---

**TABLE 1 The World Health Organization post-2015 global tuberculosis (TB) strategy [8]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vision</th>
<th>A world free of TB: zero deaths, disease and suffering due to TB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goal</td>
<td>End the global TB epidemic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targets for 2035</td>
<td>95% reduction in TB deaths (compared with 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90% reduction in TB incidence rate (&lt;10 TB cases per 100,000 population)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No affected families facing catastrophic costs due to TB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principles</td>
<td>1) Government stewardship and accountability, with monitoring and evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2) Strong coalition with civil society organisations and communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3) Protection and promotion of human rights, ethics and equity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4) Adaptation of the strategy and targets at country level, with global collaboration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pillars and components**

1) Integrated, patient-centred care and prevention
   - Early diagnosis of TB including universal drug susceptibility testing, and systematic screening of contacts and high-risk groups
   - Treatment of all people with TB including drug-resistant TB, and patient support
   - Collaborative TB/HIV activities and management of comorbidities
   - Preventive treatment of persons at high-risk and vaccination against TB

2) Bold policies and supportive systems
   - Political commitment with adequate resources for TB care and prevention
   - Engagement of communities, civil society organisations and public and private care providers
   - Universal health coverage policy and regulatory frameworks for case notification, vital registration, quality and rational use of medicines, and infection control
   - Social protection, poverty alleviation and actions on other determinants of TB

3) Intensified research and innovation
   - Discovery, development and rapid uptake of new tools, interventions and strategies
   - Research to optimise implementation and impact, and promote innovations
**TABLE 2 Methods and definitions**

**Methods**
The basis for this framework is the post-2015 global TB strategy, which was approved by the World Health Assembly in May 2014 [8]. The framework [17] is grounded in existing WHO guidelines related to TB care, prevention and control [18]. A narrative review of WHO policy documents and guidelines, as well as published literature, was undertaken. A writing group was established, which developed a draft in a framework-drafting meeting in Geneva in April 2014. It was then circulated to 32 country representatives and 22 additional representatives of research institutions and technical agencies, nongovernmental and civil society organisations that were invited to a global consultation meeting in Rome, on July 4-5, 2014 [19].

**Data sources**
Data on TB epidemiology and health systems context described in the framework draw on four data sources: 1) the WHO global TB database [20], 2) a published survey of TB policies in the European Union countries [14], 3) other published research, and 4) a survey conducted among all countries invited to the Global Consultation. The latter survey included questions concerning elements of TB epidemiology that are not routinely reported to the WHO but are available in national surveillance datasets (to various extent), as well as questions about existing policy and practice with regard to specific TB care and control interventions.

**Low-incidence countries**
In this framework, low-incidence countries are defined as those with a TB notification rate of <100 notified TB cases (all forms) per million population. This definition has been previously proposed [10], while others have suggested alternative thresholds, such as <200 per million [3] or <160 per million [21]. The <100 per million threshold is the same as the global incidence rate target for 2035, which corresponds to the goal of the post-2015 global TB strategy to “end the global TB epidemic” (table 1). However, this framework is not only relevant for countries that meet this particular low-incidence criterion. The principles and proposed actions are similar for other countries that are approaching the low-incidence threshold.

**Pre-elimination and elimination**
Pre-elimination is defined as <10 notified TB cases (all forms) per million population and year. This is the same as proposed by Clancy et al. [10] in 1991. Elimination of TB as a public health problem is defined as less than one notified TB case (all forms) per million population and year. For the European region, TB elimination was previously defined as less than one sputum-smear-positive case per million, and thus focused on the most infectious TB cases only [22]. However, the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control has proposed a definition that includes all forms of TB [11]. Similarly, the US Centres for Disease Control and Prevention defines elimination in the USA as less than one case of TB, all forms, per million population [11, 12].

**Notification rate versus true incidence rate**
These definitions use TB notification rate rather than estimated incidence, given that health systems as well as TB surveillance systems are of generally high quality in low-incidence countries and therefore the gap between notification rate of new and relapse cases and true incidence rate is small [1]. Nevertheless, TB notification rates should always be evaluated in the context of the coverage of TB surveillance systems, specifically the likelihood of significant under-detection and/or under-reporting of TB. WHO guidance is available for this purpose [23, 24].

---

TB: tuberculosis; WHO: World Health Organization.
Epidemiological trends and prospects for reaching elimination in low-incidence countries

Excluding a few very small countries and territories, no country has yet reached the TB elimination threshold. Table 3 summarises the TB burden, recent trends and future projections for 33 countries and territories with TB notification rate of <100 per million in 2012 (excluding countries and territories with a population of <300 000 people: Anguilla, Antigua and Barbuda, Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba, Bermuda, Barbados, Cook Islands, Curacao, Dominica, Grenada, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Montserrat, Niue, Sint Maarten (Dutch part), and British Virgin Islands).

All but six of these countries have experienced a downward trend between 2000 and 2012. The unweighted average rate of decline for the 33 countries was 3% per year. With the current rate of decline, only four countries would reach pre-elimination by 2035, none would reach TB elimination by 2035, and only one would reach elimination by 2050. In order to reach TB elimination by 2035, the required average annual rate of decline from 2015 onwards would range from 12% to 20% across countries, with a mean of 18%, i.e. a much faster decline than that experienced by most of these countries in the recent past (table 3 and fig. 2). The average annual rate of decline required to reach elimination in 2050 would range between 7% and 13% (fig. 3).

The task of reaching TB elimination in the coming decades may thus seem daunting, even in countries with the lowest incidence in the world. However, TB rates are already at pre-elimination levels and are getting closer to the elimination levels for the non-foreign-born population in some high-income countries (fig. 4) [25]. Very low TB rates have been achieved in settings that have secured a combination of near-universal access to high-quality TB diagnosis and treatment, social protection and general socioeconomic development with improved nutrition and living and working conditions [4, 26–28]. From these observations we can deduce that TB elimination is possible with current tools, provided that the above factors would continue to improve and apply for all people. However, reaching elimination would take many decades even with full scale-up of current technologies and improved socioeconomic conditions. Moreover, the prospect of such progress in low-incidence countries hinges on the effectiveness of measures taken to treat, control and prevent TB elsewhere in the world [29].

If the global TB strategy target of 90% reduction in TB incidence between 2015 and 2035 (table 1) [8] could be reached in all low-incidence countries, it would bring all but two of them to pre-elimination levels, but none of them to elimination by 2035 (fig. 5). It should be noted that an underlying assumption for the 90% reduction target is that new tools for prevention, diagnosis and treatment would become available around 2025 at the latest, allowing a further acceleration of decline in the following decade [30]. Therefore, even if technological advances are realised, it seems reasonable for most low-incidence countries to have pre-elimination as a goal for 2035, while a later target date is needed for the elimination goal.

The above projections are based on an optimistic assumption that the trends will continue in a favourable direction and then accelerate. While aspiring to this, it is critical to consider and mitigate the threats that can lead to a deceleration or even reversed trends, such as the dismantling of TB control programmes [31, 32], further spreading of HIV [5], an increase in drug-resistant TB [33], increases of some noncommunicable diseases that are risk factors for TB [34, 35], an increase in migration [36], economic crises [37] and growing inequity [4]. Income inequality remains an important predictor of TB burden in low-incidence countries today and insufficient social protection presents an obstacle for further progress [28, 38].

Adapting the global strategy to the special challenges for TB care and prevention in low-incidence countries: eight priority action areas

Prioritisation of key interventions and target groups should be based on an epidemiological and health system assessment in each setting, guided by data analysis and operational research. Critically, such analyses need to establish the distribution of TB in the population (by age, sex, geographical location and sociodemographic variables), the specific access barriers that each risk group faces, the health system capacity and bottlenecks, and the availability and quality of TB-specific interventions and programmatic functions.

TB epidemiology in most low-incidence countries is characterised by a low rate of transmission in the general population, occasional outbreaks, a majority of TB cases generated from progression of latent TB infection (LTBI) rather than recent transmission, a high degree of concentration in certain vulnerable and hard-to-reach risk groups, a significant contribution to TB rates from cross-border migration, and changes in age distribution towards the highest number of cases among the elderly, at least in the non-foreign-born population [3, 14, 36, 39–42]. However, the importance and nature of these elements vary across countries, and a detailed situation analysis is needed in each setting.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country or territory</th>
<th>Population millions</th>
<th>Estimated TB mortality rate in 2012 per million</th>
<th>Estimated TB incidence rate in 2012 per million</th>
<th>TB notification rate in 2012 per million</th>
<th>Foreign-born notified TB cases in 2012%</th>
<th>Annual rate of change in incidence in 2000–2012%</th>
<th>Incidence per million in 2035 if 90% reduction in 2015–2035</th>
<th>Required annual rate of decline to reach elimination by 2035%</th>
<th>Required annual rate of decline to reach elimination by 2050%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>−11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>−6.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>−19</td>
<td>−12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahamas</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−6.9</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>−12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>−3.3</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>−12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>−2.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>−17</td>
<td>−10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>−4.1</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>−21</td>
<td>−13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>−2.1</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>−12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>−11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>−6.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>−11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>−3.8</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>−11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>−4.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>−11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>−2.7</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>−12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>−6.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>−17</td>
<td>−10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>−3.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>−17</td>
<td>−10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>−0.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>−16</td>
<td>−10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>−3.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>−19</td>
<td>−12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>−4.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>−11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>−2.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>−11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>−11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>−2.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>−11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>−3.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>−12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>−19</td>
<td>−11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>−3.8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>−11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>−3.8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>−19</td>
<td>−11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>−19</td>
<td>−11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>−7.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>−13</td>
<td>−8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−9.1</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>−19</td>
<td>−11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>−8.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>−19</td>
<td>−12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>−11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>−3.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>−15</td>
<td>−11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>−11.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>−12</td>
<td>−7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>318.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>−5.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>−16</td>
<td>−9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Bank and Gaza Strip</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>−4.8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>−19</td>
<td>−11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unweighted average: 4.02 68 66 54 3 6.3 −11

NA: not available. *: from the World Health Organization [WHO] global TB database [20]; #: from the WHO global TB database [20], updated with data from countries responding to the survey; +: annual rates of change, where estimated, were based on estimated incidence rates from the slope of a linear regression model using log-transformed rates.
A common health system challenge for low-incidence countries is that the low burden of TB often leads to low visibility, which may translate into inadequate political commitment, insufficient public health response, limited clinical capacity and diminishing public awareness.

The special challenges require tailored responses, which in this framework are grouped under eight broad priority action areas. The specific challenges and corresponding priority actions for each are outlined below. Table 4 maps each action area against the components of the global TB strategy. The implementation of these actions should adhere to the same fundamental principles defined for the global strategy (table 5).

1) Ensure political commitment, funding and stewardship for planning and essential services

Most low-incidence countries have a relatively well-financed health system, and TB diagnosis and treatment are nominally free of charge (table 6). Despite these favourable basic conditions, several challenges exist. Critical health system challenges include ensuring that vulnerable populations are included under universal health coverage schemes that translate into access to needed health services for all people without financial hardship in using them [43]. Some low-incidence countries do not provide free TB care to all (table 6). Marginalised groups, such as homeless people and undocumented migrants, may be excluded from national health services or insurance schemes [14, 36, 44-46]. Moreover, co-payments, even if relatively small, can constitute financial barriers for some. Even where access is universal on paper, other important barriers can impede access and adherence, which include those linked to marginalisation, language, stigma and discrimination [6, 47].

These access barriers require a bold health system response. However, it is often difficult to maintain political commitment for TB care, prevention and control when TB incidence has declined to low levels. This may be reflected in the fact that fewer than half of the low-incidence countries have a national TB programme, a central unit responsible for national TB care and prevention, or TB-specific funding, while 60% have a TB elimination plan (table 6).
Several low-incidence countries have experienced de-prioritisation of TB control. Dropping crucial elements, such as surveillance, forecasting, strategic planning, guideline development, quality control, inclusion of TB in medical curricula and resource mobilisation, can have deleterious effects on TB care and prevention [48]. Under-funded or dismantled TB control units have led to lost attention to TB control and a surge in TB rates requiring massive re-investments to curb these trends [31, 32]. In recent years, stock-outs of TB medicines or diagnostics [49], loss of clinical expertise and diminishing proficiency for TB testing in laboratories have been reported and linked to weakened TB surveillance and planning [50, 51].

For surveillance, it is essential to have a mandatory notification policy embedded in public health laws that fully respect human rights and ethical principles. TB notification is mandatory in all low-incidence countries, although significant under-reporting has been described for some settings [52–54]. Most low-incidence countries have individual case-based electronic TB surveillance (table 6). However, only 61% of European countries perform regular supervision and only 39% have a monitoring and evaluation plan [14].

**Key interventions**

Central coordination under government stewardship, which can be enhanced through establishment of a national TB policy committee, should ensure the development of a national strategic plan for TB elimination embedded in national health and social sector plans, and accountability for its implementation. The central coordination should ensure that a cohesive and dedicated TB public health infrastructure is in place, which is vigilant in addressing all aspects of TB care and prevention, and ensure adequate surveillance and monitoring. The required size and capacity for a central coordination team, the need for specialised units for TB diagnosis and treatment, and the appropriate level of centralisation or de-centralisation of services depends on the size of the country as well as TB burden and distribution. The following functions must be fulfilled: 1) formulation of standards and protocols and incorporation of these in the national legal and regulatory framework for health; 2) well-functioning chains of care, with strong referral, notification and information mechanisms between primary care, hospitals and specialised services, both public and private [48, 55]; 3) human resource planning, capacity strengthening, basic and

---

**FIGURE 3** Observed versus required annual rate of change in tuberculosis (TB) incidence to reach TB elimination (less than one case per million) by 2050 in 33 low-incidence countries.
FIGURE 4  Tuberculosis (TB) incidence rate in foreign-born and non-foreign-born populations, and proportion of TB cases that are foreign born in selected low-incidence countries, from 2012 data. The inserted numbers are the incidence rates per million in non-foreign-born populations.

FIGURE 5  Projected tuberculosis (TB) incidence rates in 33 low-incidence countries in 2035 assuming a decline of 90% between 2015 and 2035. The defined pre-elimination and elimination levels are shown.
continuous medical education that include the development of TB consultant networks, and targeted educational campaigns [56]; 4) a high-quality network of laboratory services, validated through proficiency testing and other quality control mechanisms [57]; 5) an uninterrupted, quality-controlled supply of drugs and diagnostics, based on forecasting; drug management capabilities, and a strategy for rational drug use.

### TABLE 4 Adaptation of the post-2015 global tuberculosis (TB) strategy to low-incidence countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority action area</th>
<th>Key interventions</th>
<th>Global strategy pillars and components</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1 Ensure political commitment, funding and stewardship for planning and essential services of high quality | Political commitment and financing (plans, targets and leadership)  
Advocacy from civil society, communities and other stakeholders  
Central coordination, management and staffing for TB elimination, including training, laboratory capacity, TB test and drug forecasting and management, and surveillance  
Partnerships among ministries, sectors and stakeholders | 1A−D  
2A−D                                                                                   |
| 2 Address the most vulnerable and hard-to-reach groups                                  | Mapping of TB risk groups, including all groups with elevated TB incidence and hard-to-reach groups  
Analysing and addressing barriers to access and adherence  
Social support and protection  
Addressing underlying social determinants | 1A−D  
2B−D                                                                                   |
| 3 Address special needs of migrants and cross-border issues                            | Undertaking epidemiological assessment and proper surveillance  
Ensuring access to culturally sensitive health services  
Social support  
Establishment of cross-border collaboration  
Considering selective screening (pre- and/or post-entry)  
Addressing social determinants | 1A−D  
2B−D                                                                                   |
| 4 Undertake screening for active TB and LTBI in TB contacts and selected high-risk groups, and provide appropriate treatment | Contact investigation  
Outbreak management  
Consideration and prioritisation of other screening activities on the basis of mapping of risk groups and assessing benefits, risks and costs  
Monitoring for effectiveness of screening programmes and policies | 1A+D                                                                                   |
| 5 Optimise the prevention and care of drug-resistant TB                                 | Universal rapid drug susceptibility testing  
Optimised treatment, care, support and social protection  
Drug regulation and management | 1A−D  
2A−D  
3A−B                                                                                   |
| 6 Ensure continued surveillance, programme monitoring and evaluation and case-based data management | Enforcing compulsory notification  
Establishing an electronic case-based TB registry  
Implementing a core set of indicators for surveillance and monitoring of evaluation  
Use of molecular epidemiology tools when needed  
Linkage and integration with other surveillance systems  
A monitoring and evaluation framework  
Regular monitoring of implementation, with periodic evaluation and impact assessment | 2A−C                                                                                   |
| 7 Invest in research and new tools                                                     | Mobilisation of financial resources for TB research  
Influencing the research agenda of main institutions  
Support for national and international capacity building for research | 3A−B                                                                                   |
| 8 Support global TB prevention, care and control                                       | Contribution and mobilisation of financial resources  
Promotion of global TB advocacy and visibility  
Contributions to global TB surveillance, monitoring and evaluation  
Support for bilateral and multilateral collaboration and technical assistance | 1–3                                                                                   |

LTBI: latent TB infection.
Public health authorities should ensure that high-quality TB care and associated social protection are available for all patients regardless of ability to pay for services. There should be capacity to use the best TB tests available for all in need and to provide optimal and comprehensive treatment for all people with TB and related comorbidities. (For detailed recommendations on TB prevention, diagnosis, treatment and care, see the full framework document [17], the global TB strategy [8] and related guidelines that are continually updated on the WHO website [18].)

Political commitment is also required for essential regulatory approaches, including an infectious disease law that is effectively implemented and enforced. Specific regulations are required for vital registration, mandatory TB case notification, screening of selected risk groups, access to care for undocumented immigrants, cross-border collaboration, treatment outcome monitoring, registration, importation, and manufacturing, prescribing and dispensing of TB tests and medicines, and infection control in healthcare services and other settings.

Baseline assessments of TB epidemiology and health system capacity are needed, and operational and impact target setting should be based on this knowledge. Regular reporting and public dissemination of results and lessons learned need to be stimulated and financed. Establishment of an advisory body that annually reviews routine performance of national efforts and progress towards targets should be considered. It should include relevant authorities, professional societies, researchers and civil society. In some countries, this may be best done through overarching communicable disease bodies.

Active involvement of civil society organisations, affected vulnerable communities or patient organisations can help pursue independent efforts complementary to those of the government. The partnership effort also needs to extend into the routine practice of local health and social services, public health practitioners, researchers and the private sector. The involvement of prison health services, occupational health departments, immigration authorities and special health and social services for vulnerable groups needs to be considered. TB control in large cities may require special initiatives planned together with city health authorities.

### TABLE 5 Adaptation of the principles of the post-2015 global tuberculosis (TB) strategy to low-incidence settings

1. **Government stewardship and accountability, with monitoring and evaluation**
   In low-incidence settings, government must undertake distinct actions in pursuing its stewardship function. These actions are not just those of public health authorities but necessitate clear roles and accountability of multiple authorities, including associated reinforcement and adaptation of monitoring and evaluation approaches, including cross-border collaboration.

2. **Strong coalition with civil society organisations and communities**
   Reaching vulnerable and marginalised populations requires novel approaches to building coalitions with civil society organisations and communities most affected. This coalition approach can both increase expression of the demand for TB prevention and care and ensures engagement in the formulation of plans and intervention strategies, and their evaluation.

3. **Protection and promotion of human rights, ethics and equity**
   Many of the individuals and groups most at risk of TB exposure, infection, disease and poor outcomes face challenges in the protection and promotion of their human rights in general, and in their right to health specifically. A human-rights-based approach to pursuing TB elimination is necessary. This includes addressing issues of nondiscrimination, availability, accessibility, acceptability and quality of interventions, privacy and confidentiality, participation and accountability. There are a range of related ethical issues that arise in the design and implementation of TB prevention and care interventions. Underlying inequities also need to be addressed in the TB response within and beyond the health sector, such as inequity in economic and social circumstances and related social determinants of disease, and in access to healthcare. There is also a need to address concerns that access to formal health services may disclose the irregular status of some immigrants and have legal implications.

4. **Adaptation of the strategy and targets at country level, with global collaboration**
   The development of this framework itself is an expression of the principle of adaptation of global strategy to country and local context. Global collaboration is a fundamental element of the framework, because many of the challenges, including migration, building political commitment to TB elimination and ensuring a robust research portfolio, necessitate global collaboration.

(including fixed-dose combinations); and 6) high-quality collection and analysis of data and capacity of all levels of the health system in surveillance and programmatic monitoring and evaluation [58].
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Total health expenditure as % of gross domestic product</th>
<th>Public health expenditure as % of total health expenditure</th>
<th>National TB programme Central unit</th>
<th>TB control and elimination plan</th>
<th>Specific targets for TB control and elimination</th>
<th>Specific TB budget</th>
<th>Laboratory external quality assessment system</th>
<th>Individual case-based electronic database</th>
<th>Directly observed treatment for all or selected patients</th>
<th>Free TB diagnosis</th>
<th>Free TB treatment</th>
<th>Special incentive/enabler for some or all TB patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Selected</td>
<td>Yes, all tested</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Selected</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Rep.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Selected</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Selected</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No*</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No*</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No policy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data concern national levels. Based on the roles and responsibilities for the organisation and delivery of health services, country-level responses need to be interpreted with caution, especially for countries with a federal system of government. NA: not available or no answer. *: patient pays 25%; †: nominal fee of €1.50 on each medication dispensed; ‡: covered by health insurance, but patients must pay the first €350 of healthcare costs.
2) Address the most vulnerable and hard-to-reach groups

As incidence decreases, TB becomes more and more concentrated in certain vulnerable groups, such as the poor, homeless people, migrants, people living with HIV/AIDS, people who use substances and alcohol harmfully, prisoners and marginalised groups. These groups often overlap, and they not only have a greater risk of developing TB, but also a greater risk of not accessing or adhering and responding to TB treatment. Moreover, TB can make the vulnerable more vulnerable through its aftermath, such as stigma and social isolation, financial burden, loss of employment, loss of housing, interruption of studies and deportation [3–7, 36, 40, 59–63].

In some low-incidence countries, indigenous populations (e.g. aboriginal people or First Nations) or certain ethnic minorities (e.g. Roma) have TB incidence rates that are much greater than the general population [60]. This may be due to a combination of compounded exposure to TB risk factors, poor healthcare access and, possibly, genetic factors [60]. Immigrants from high-incidence countries may or may not belong to the most vulnerable groups in their destination country, depending on the reason for migrating, their migration status and the conditions of migration [64].

TB incidence rates are higher in urban areas than in rural areas in many low-incidence countries [7, 61, 62, 65, 66]. This is due to a congregation of certain vulnerable groups, including migrants. Health services are sometimes more fragmented in urban areas than in rural areas [65]. However, the indigenous populations of some of the low-incidence countries have most of their communities in remote rural areas lacking access to full services for diagnosis and treatment.

The elderly often have a greater TB incidence rate than younger individuals, due to a cumulative exposure and elevated risk of progression to active disease [67–69]. Many low-incidence settings have experienced a shifting of the burden of TB towards the oldest age groups, at least in the non-foreign-born population [70]. However, this is not seen consistently [71]. The rate of TB in the elderly depends largely on historical TB exposure in each birth cohort, and it will therefore vary with historical TB trends. Diagnosis of TB in the elderly is often delayed, especially since TB symptoms may be masked by other, more common conditions [72]. Treatment of active TB in the elderly presents special challenges due to comorbidities and general age-related vulnerability. Side-effects of TB medicines and other complications are also more common, which warrants close clinical monitoring [73]. Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of systematic TB screening in selected groups of the elderly is uncertain [74, 75].

Young children are vulnerable because they have increased risk of TB disease once infected, as well as increased risk of severe disease, while the diagnosis of TB in children is often challenging [76–80]. Bacille Calmette–Guerin (BCG) vaccination has limited efficacy in preventing pulmonary TB but can effectively reduce the risk of severe disseminated forms of TB and their sequelae in children [81, 82]. However, the risk–benefit ratio of BCG vaccination becomes increasingly unfavourable with decreasing TB transmission rate [83–85].

Key interventions

Hard-to-reach groups with elevated TB risk and poor healthcare access need to be locally defined. Special attention is required for groups that may lack proper documentation and may not be covered by social health insurance or national health services. A patient-centred care and support approach that is sensitive and responsive to patients’ needs [86] and founded on sound ethical principles [87] is crucial. Supportive treatment supervision by treatment partners must be carried out in a context-specific and patient-sensitive manner. Some people benefit from directly observed treatment.

More important than the supervision of treatment itself is the recognition and addressing of factors that may lead to poor access, treatment interruption and poor response [47]. Enabling interventions include removing financial barriers, making services user friendly (de-centralisation, conducive opening hours, appropriate staff attitude, addressing language barriers, etc.), providing social support, increasing awareness, alleviating stigma and discrimination and addressing comorbid conditions [86].

Social protection mechanisms are needed to prevent adverse social consequences and financial burden related to the direct and indirect costs of TB [88]. These include: 1) sickness insurance, disability pension, other cash transfers, housing support, vouchers or food packages; 2) legislation to protect people with TB from discrimination such as deportation or expulsion from workplaces, educational institutions or housing; and 3) instruments to protect and promote human rights, including addressing stigma and discrimination, with special attention to sex and ethnicity. All these measures require a multisectorial approach that ensures that social protection schemes are sufficiently TB sensitive, guaranteeing eligibility for all in need.

Specific training and TB awareness-raising activities for medical and social care staff in contact with groups at high risk should be considered, including training on how to help patients navigate and access
health and social care. The planning and implementation should involve governmental health and social services as well as nongovernmental organisations and civil society, including formal and informal community leaders and health providers.

Social interventions need to go beyond people already ill with TB and also focus on the people and communities at risk through a “health-in-all-policies” approach [89]. Poverty alleviation reduces the risk of TB and helps improve access to health services and adherence. Prevention can also be enhanced through addressing direct risk factors for TB, including HIV [90], smoking [91], harmful use of alcohol [92] and drugs [93, 94], diabetes [35, 95] and malnutrition [96].

Special attention is required with regard to early diagnosis of active TB in the elderly and in children [97]. Detection and treatment of LTBI in child TB contacts is essential (see action area 4). Low-incidence countries should consider directing BCG vaccination to children in high-risk groups, or to phase out BCG vaccination entirely, depending on national TB epidemiology [83, 98].

3) Address special needs of migrants and cross-border issues
Global migration has dramatically increased in recent decades because of the changing global economy, war, civil unrest, socioeconomic inequities and ease of travel. It is unlikely that this trend will be reversed in the near future. Many migrants are at increased risk of ill health as a result of the poor conditions through which they travel and then work and live [99]. While traditionally viewed as a unidirectional phenomenon, migration has become increasingly circular and complex, underscoring the need to move beyond narrow unilateral approaches to migration and highlighting the linkage of good TB control in source countries with preventive interventions in receiving states [99, 100].

In many low-incidence countries, trends in TB incidence are driven largely by international migration dynamics [36, 101, 102]. With a few exceptions, TB incidence rates among the foreign born are several times higher than among indigenous, non-foreign-born people (table 4 and fig. 4). The rates of TB in specific migrant groups often correspond to those of their countries of origin [103, 104], while reactivation risk may increase due to socioeconomic vulnerability augmented by stressful migration conditions [105]. Some immigrant subgroups may also have a higher likelihood of not completing treatment once started, particularly if there are language difficulties [106]. TB in foreign-born persons represents, on average, >50% of all TB cases in low-incidence countries, but varies widely from 0% to 90% (table 3).

TB is sometimes transmitted within migrant communities, but transmission from migrant groups into host country populations is normally limited [107–112].

People may migrate during TB treatment, or they may migrate to access TB care of perceived higher quality, particularly if they suffer from difficult-to-treat TB forms (multidrug-resistant (MDR) or extensively drug-resistant (XDR) TB) [113–116]. This creates challenges for treatment follow-up, continuity of care, contact investigation, outbreak management and surveillance. Insufficient coordination of TB care services across borders can lead to insufficient quality of care, continued transmission and incomplete TB surveillance [117].

Key interventions
The most essential action is to ensure that healthcare services are accessible to all migrants, and that care is patient centred and culturally sensitive, including efforts to overcome language and other barriers. Collaboration between countries and national legislation should guarantee the functionality and access to TB services without financial burden for all migrants regardless of status, including undocumented migrants and migrants without full residence status.

Epidemiological assessments should explore whether TB in migrants is a priority challenge and assess which groups of migrants have a high risk of TB. For this, detailed surveillance is essential, including disaggregated data on migrant groups and related variables.

Migrant communities should be empowered through social mobilisation and health communication. Sensitisation of medical and administrative personnel to health profiles and special needs of migrants is needed to build cultural competency. TB diagnosis, treatment and care for migrants should be integrated within general health services, while special efforts may be needed to reach migrants at centres for refugees or asylum seekers and other special settings.

Based on epidemiological assessment, systematic screening for active TB in migrants (pre-migration, at point of arrival or after arrival) may be considered within the country context and be coupled with careful evaluation of screening yield and impact [118]. Screening should be linked with follow-up or referral to the treatment programme. More research is needed to evaluate the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of different screening approaches for each group of migrants, and it should consider health system, patient
some evidence from observational studies of the efficacy of treatment for LTBI for children exposed to regimens may be associated with a significant increase in treatment completion rates. While there is countries and in some population groups completion rates of 80% are achieved [144, 145]. Shorter LTBI with isoniazid has a 60% 942 DOI: 10.1183/09031936.00214014

screening and management of LTBI in risk groups may be relatively more important [39]. However, the evidence for epidemiological impact of LTBI screening and treatment is very weak [121].

A limiting factor for the impact of screening for active TB on transmission is that transmission rates are already very low in low-incidence countries. The majority of incident TB cases are generated through reactivation of LTBI acquired abroad or domestically in a distant past [61, 123–125]. When transmission does occur, it is often in the form of limited outbreaks within the household, in healthcare facilities, or in congregate settings such as prisons or shelters, and more occasionally in pubs or schools [126–128]. Therefore, while screening for active TB and LTBI can potentially help stop ongoing outbreaks, the screening and management of LTBI in risk groups may be relatively more important [39]. However, the evidence for epidemiological impact of LTBI screening and treatment is very weak [121].

The risk of progression from LTBI to active disease is the highest for people with recent infection, such as TB contacts (especially children aged <5 years), other recent converters such as healthcare workers undergoing serial testing, and people with impaired immunity due to comorbid conditions, including HIV infection, or immunosuppressive treatments, such as tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-α inhibitors [120, 129–133].

LTBI can be effectively treated, but the decision to test and treat should be taken after evaluation of the risk–benefit trade-off, and only those with the highest risk of progression should be considered for screening and treatment. Currently, there is no ideal test that can accurately predict that risk. Treatment of LTBI with isoniazid has a 60–90% efficacy in clinical trials, but is associated with a small risk of hepatotoxicity that may result in a fatal outcome [73]. A 4-month rifampicin regimen [134], 3-month daily regimens with rifampicin and isoniazid or a 3-month weekly treatment with rifapentine and isoniazid seem to have similar efficacy and lower risk of severe adverse events [135].

An additional challenge is that adherence to treatment of LTBI is often poor [136–143], although in some countries and in some population groups completion rates of 80% are achieved [144, 145]. Shorter regimens may be associated with a significant increase in treatment completion rates. While there is some evidence from observational studies of the efficacy of treatment for LTBI for children exposed to
MDR-TB [146, 147], the benefit of such treatment has yet to be evaluated through randomised controlled trials [121].

Key interventions
While systematic screening for active TB in high-risk groups can potentially contribute to earlier detection of TB, ensuring universal access and correctly selecting people who should be tested for TB among those actively seeking care is the highest priority [118, 148].

Contact investigation, including source finding for recently infected cases, e.g. young children, should be performed routinely around each newly detected TB case and may expand to community contacts [149, 150]. Systematic screening should also be routine in people living with HIV and it should be a part of general health check-ups in people working in the mining industry and other silica-exposed occupations [118]. Real-time surveillance with mapping of cases in space and in time, preferably supported by genotyping, is required to identify an outbreak in a community, healthcare facility [151], correctional facility [152] or in other specific settings or risk groups [153, 154]. Note that a disease outbreak is defined by the WHO as the occurrence of cases of disease in excess of what would normally be expected in a defined community, geographical area or season [155].

Other risk groups (not indicated by contact investigation or outbreak management), e.g. migrants, prisoners, homeless people, certain ethnic minorities, the elderly and people with immuno-compromising disorders or treatments, may be prioritised for systematic screening of active TB based on local TB epidemiology and an assessment of benefits, risks and costs [118]. General population screening (or screening in other groups than those at very high risk) should not be done in low-incidence countries, since there is weak evidence of epidemiological impact [119], it can be very expensive, and it is associated with a high risk of false-positive TB diagnosis when prevalence is low [156]. A national strategy for

### TABLE 7 Screening strategies in 22 low-incidence countries that responded to survey in 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Household contact investigation</th>
<th>Immigrant screening</th>
<th>Ethnic minority or indigenous population screening</th>
<th>Screening of people living with HIV/AIDS</th>
<th>Prison screening</th>
<th>Screening of healthcare workers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>TB cases detected with this strategy</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>TB cases detected with this strategy</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>TB cases detected with this strategy</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Rep.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data concern national levels. Based on the roles and responsibilities for the organisation and delivery of health services, country-level responses need to be interpreted with caution, especially for countries with a federal system of government. TB: tuberculosis; NA: not available or no answer.
systematic screening for active TB in selected high-risk groups should include careful case-based surveillance that allows for monitoring and evaluation of the screening strategy and can guide reprioritisation and discontinuation of screening when the yield reaches a low level.

The general principles of screening for LTBI are that there should be a positive trade-off between benefits and harms for the individual, and that a decision to test is an intention to consider treatment if positive [121]. At an individual level, to be eligible for this intervention, the benefits of treatment should outweigh the risk of drug toxicity. Based on these considerations, systematic testing and treatment of LTBI should be done for people living with HIV, adult and child contacts of pulmonary TB cases, patients initiating anti-TNF-α treatment, patients receiving dialysis, patients preparing for organ or haematological transplantation, and patients with silicosis [121]. The evidence for benefits of LTBI treatment in other risk groups is very scarce. However, systematic testing and treatment of LTBI may also be considered for prisoners, health workers, migrants from high-TB-burden countries, homeless people and illicit drug users. Within these groups, priority must be given to those individuals with a history of recent conversion of the status of infection, tested either by interferon-γ release assays or the tuberculin skin test, from negative to positive [121].

The following treatment options are currently recommended for the treatment of LTBI: 6 months of isoniazid or 9 months of isoniazid, or a 3-month regimen of weekly rifapentine plus isoniazid, or 3–4 months of isoniazid plus rifampicin, or 3–4 months of rifampicin alone. Rifampicin- and rifapentine-containing regimens should be prescribed with caution to people living with HIV who are on antiretroviral treatment, due to potential drug-to-drug interactions. Individuals receiving treatment for LTBI should receive regular clinical monitoring through monthly visits to healthcare providers [121].

5) Optimise the prevention and care of drug-resistant TB

Drug-resistant forms of TB constitute a particularly grave threat to future TB elimination efforts for low- and high-incidence countries alike [36, 157, 158]. Further spread and amplification of drug resistance could undo previous TB control efforts by rendering the disease yet again incurable [33, 159]. Countries that have reduced TB incidence to low levels usually observe a concentration of their TB caseload in patient groups at risk of poor treatment adherence and thus of acquired drug resistance [62]. As more countries are destined to follow a declining trend in TB caseloads, a heightened vigilance for the emergence and transmission of drug-resistant strains is needed.

People diagnosed with MDR-TB often face particular challenges related to the long disease history and the lengthy and complex treatment regimens. It is very difficult and resource demanding to manage MDR-TB, for both patients and the health system, and social and financial support are often needed to enable access and ensure adherence [160–164].

Key interventions

The “test and treat” approach should allow for the early identification not only of TB but also of the resistance patterns that determine the type of treatment required; this implies systematic and universal drug susceptibility testing of all bacteriologically positive TB cases to determine the most effective treatment based on the resistance pattern [160]. Optimal patient-centred support is particularly important for people with MDR-TB or XDR-TB, who face longer and more challenging treatments [15, 161, 162, 165]. Good clinical management for patients with drug-resistant TB should be based upon the expertise of medical specialists from paediatrics, HIV, psychiatry, substance-abuse and surgery, among others. These services are ideally provided through an expert committee or a consilium (a team of experts recommending the best management of clinically complex and difficult-to-treat cases) [114, 115].

A patient-centred approach that is sensitive and responsive to patient needs will require a multidisciplinary input. Support measures, beyond the treatment regimen itself, must be tailored to suit the needs of the patient. Social networks will be needed to provide comprehensive care to patients with drug-resistant TB, using both ambulatory and hospital-based models of care as required. Directly observed treatment has a role in improving adherence to treatment, alongside other supportive and educational measures. Special financial enablers to patients and their carers may become particularly viable when TB control hinges upon a smaller number of cases completing their treatment as prescribed.

Treatment and support must also extend beyond cure to address any sequelae associated with TB. Moreover, access to comprehensive palliative and end-of-life care is essential, particularly for people with XDR-TB who do not respond to any treatment [160, 166, 167].

Current treatment regimens for drug-resistant TB remain unsatisfactory in terms of duration, safety, effectiveness and cost [157, 163, 168]. New drugs have recently come on the market [169–172], but
further research into safer, affordable and more effective medicines are key to improving treatment outcomes [173]. Linkages with existing pharmacovigilance mechanisms will contribute to promoting safer use and management of medicines and side-effects. Resistance will develop to the new anti-TB medicines. Closer surveillance of drug resistance in the community will therefore be required. Rational use of drugs backed by properly enforced regulations and measures to assist clinical decisions and guarantee the quality of drug formulations may forestall the generation of resistance to new drugs [174].

6) Ensure continued surveillance and programme monitoring and evaluation, with individual case-based data management

Real-time, case-based surveillance is essential to enable a tailored response that meets the needs of special groups and that allows continual reprioritisation and re-targeting of special interventions. As TB caseloads are reduced it will become more crucial not to miss any new patients, particularly those who are more likely to die, to infect others, or to have drug resistance. Public health efforts thus need to be maintained through a framework of complementary measures, both regulatory and enabling, that promote an adequate level of vigilance.

Key interventions

The notification of TB should be compulsory at all levels and should discriminate between different forms of disease, including drug-resistant forms. The practice of recording individual TB patient data should be maintained and strengthened [175]. Having fewer patients may make it more feasible to collect more variables at a more frequent rate for each one of them. This will be useful not only for the patient under treatment but also for the study of risk factors and disease determinants [176]. Special attention to TB rates in children [77], disaggregation according to risk profile, and monitoring of people receiving LTBI treatment can also help determine trends in transmission and incidence, and help assess impact and refine intervention packages. Data on the location of patient residence permit spatio-temporal studies of TB hotspots for targeted public health action [177–179]. These become more pertinent as an epidemic recedes, when cases are fewer but more concentrated in space and time. Unique identifiers and biometric data may help trace patients, but confidentiality must be safeguarded.

Surveillance systems should ensure the quality of data with respect to completeness, timeliness, consistency and validity, with periodic assessment of the standards and benchmarks of the TB surveillance system [23]. Core indicators based on the context of the country need to be developed. They should particularly address the monitoring of ongoing transmission. The future should see more streamlining in the interoperable linkage of data across facilities and systems, such as hospitals, laboratories, mortality registers and patient management systems, to ensure that more comprehensive and timely information is made available to the clinicians and public health practitioners. Linkages with other disease surveillance, such as that for HIV and other TB comorbidities including diabetes [35], should be explored.

Surveillance practitioners need to keep abreast of advances in TB diagnostic techniques, the results of which are becoming increasingly automatically generated, as well as of the state-of-the-art information and communication technology required to capture and transmit data rapidly. Future surveillance systems need to exploit the state of the science in information technology. These methods can include linking up patient data (demographic, clinical, geo-positioning, vital statistic and socioeconomic information), with systematic DNA fingerprinting of strains when investigating outbreaks or linked cases. Information on drug-related harms is destined to become more important when newer medicines are used ahead of the completion of phase III trials; pharmacovigilance could be routinely integrated in the monitoring framework of TB [180].

7) Invest in research and new tools

Revolutionary new technologies and service delivery models are needed to achieve TB elimination. This will require an intensification of research, from fundamental research to drive innovations to operational and health systems research to improve current programmatic performance. Presently, TB research is grossly under-funded, which has resulted in a meagre pipeline of new technologies for TB diagnosis, treatment and prevention [181]. In order for further progress to be made, investments are required in both research and capacity building to implement trials in accordance with international standards [182].

Key interventions

Low-incidence, high-income countries can contribute substantially to the required international financial and technical support and collaboration for fundamental and clinical research towards new tools, as well as epidemiological, social and operational research to enhance uptake and to enable equitable access to new and existing technologies. Broad-based, concerted effort is needed to develop research capacity, allocate appropriate resources and encourage stakeholders to work together. A prominent role should be assigned to advocacy for research.
Of particular relevance for low-incidence countries is the development of better tools for screening in high-risk groups, both for LTBI and active TB. The prospect of TB prevention would be improved by a rapid, accurate test that could both diagnose LTBI and forecast the likelihood of progression to active disease, and by briefer, safer and more efficacious treatment regimens [183]. More research and investments are required to address a series of major scientific challenges and to set the priorities for future TB vaccine research [184]. A post-exposure vaccine that prevents the disease in latently infected individuals would be a huge advancement towards TB elimination. This would be favourably complemented with the development of a pre-exposure vaccine that would prevent TB infection at population level [185]. Better, safer and shorter treatment for drug-resistant TB is urgently needed. Investments are required in both research and capacity building to implement trials in accordance with international standards. Markers of treatment outcome should be developed to accelerate the conduct of clinical trials, and reliable, rapid and cost-effective diagnostics/markers for drug resistance are needed [182].

Fostering better and more relevant research in epidemiology, programme operation, health systems and social science will help implementation and contribute to the development of both national and global policies. For this purpose, good systems for research prioritisation, planning and implementation need to be in place at country level as well as internationally. Better strategies should be developed to discover and target interventions using innovative tools for high-risk groups.

8) Support global TB control

In a globalised world, sustained national TB elimination cannot be achieved without a major reduction of the burden of TB worldwide. On average, >50% of cases in low-incidence countries arise in high-TB-burden countries. The countries with the highest TB burden are also the poorest countries, most of which have the least well equipped health systems. Providing support to efforts to fully implement the global strategy especially in these countries can benefit the low-incidence countries that often attract migrants from less privileged countries. Such investment has been shown to be cost-effective in terms of potential impact on domestic TB rates in low-incidence settings [29].

Key interventions

Low-incidence countries should support the implementation of all aspects of the global TB strategy, through bilateral and multinational mechanisms. This can be in the form of financial support, technical assistance, technology exchange, research collaboration and capacity strengthening.

Raising additional financial resources for domestic as well as international efforts for TB care and prevention will require that TB continues to remain high in the international public health agenda. Active engagement and support from low-incidence countries to promote global TB advocacy could give the required visibility to sustained efforts essential for TB elimination.

Continuous monitoring will be required to measure progress and ensure that the implementation of the global strategy is on track. For this purpose, all countries will need to continue to participate in, and benefit from, global surveillance, monitoring and evaluation for TB prevention, care and control.

The way forward: engaging national and international partners for full implementation

Moving towards TB elimination requires commitment and political leadership from national and international stakeholders. First of all, governance, leadership, funding and accountability arrangements will require national and local government to take a lead role. The involvement of a wider partnership may help sway political and public health opinion to support the development of an evidence-based national elimination plan that is resourced, implemented and monitored.

Ideally, the national effort should be supported by a multidisciplinary and multiprofessional group that includes professional societies, civil society organisations, private sector health organisations and all relevant government departments that have a role in TB elimination, including those responsible for medical research, public health, healthcare, housing, justice, immigration and social welfare. Professional societies may be able to advise on approaches that may be used to overcome potential implementation difficulties.

As the burden of TB remains strongly determined by social factors, inevitably TB control must also include social interventions that require broad-based support to maximise impact. Health professionals need effective partnerships with social services and with local community groups able to provide an advocacy platform for patients. The trust and support of affected communities is the key to early diagnosis, engagement with treatment services, and sustained commitment to address the wider social determinants of TB.

International partnerships are as important as national partnerships. Collaboration with national authorities of other low-incidence countries, international organisations, technical partners and
international professional associations will become more important as TB incidence decreases further. Engagement of international consortia of medical and pharmaceutical faculties and federations of professional organisations is important to maintain interest in TB as a major global health issue, streamline medical practice and stimulate research. Joining forces will bundle available knowledge and expertise and help develop common strategies for both low- and high-incidence countries that are progressing towards elimination. Platforms to exchange countries’ experience, best practices and challenges will help countries to adjust their programmes and interventions to meet the demands of the changing epidemic, while building unified mechanisms to address regional and cross-border problems in a compatible way.

International organisations, technical partners and international professional associations have distinct and yet complementary roles in TB control, including policy development, surveillance, provision of professional training courses, facilitation of exchange visits, research, and publication of scientific journals focused on TB control issues relevant for low-incidence settings. Collaboration and fostering strong partnerships between national and international stakeholders, including beyond TB programmes and health sectors, will pave the way to accelerate towards elimination of TB in both low- and high-incidence countries.
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