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Abstract
Children in care in Ireland have increased by 27% in the last decade. This population is recognized to be among the most vulnerable. This study aims to describe their placement histories, service use and mental health needs. Data was obtained on 174 children (56.5% of eligible sample) with a mean age of 10.83 (SD = 5.04). 114 (65.5%) were in care for three years or more. 29 (16.7%) did not have a SW and 49 (37.7%) had no GP. 50 (28.7%) were attending CAMHS. Long term care, frequent placement changes and residential setting were significantly related with poorer outcomes and increased MH contact. Given the increase in numbers in care and the overall decrease in resource allocation to health and social care, individual care planning and prioritizing of resources are essential.

Introduction
The term ‘looked after’ was introduced by the Department of Health, UK (1989) to describe all children in public care. In November 2009 there were 5,694 children in the care of the HSE (0.5% of the total child population) representing a 4% increase between 2007-2009. Most of the children (85%) are looked after in foster care and half reside in the Dublin region. A national UK survey found that 45% of children had mental health problems, representing a four fold increase in risk when compared to all children and experience significant health and educational inequalities throughout childhood. The increased vulnerability of looked after children, coupled with the increasing numbers in the care of the State, highlight the need to understand more fully from an Irish perspective who these children are and what is their current level of service use. The recent realisation that a significant number of children in care experienced premature death makes a study of this vulnerable group of children a major public priority. The aim of this study is to describe the placement histories, service use and mental health needs of children in care within two Dublin catchment areas.

Methods
Following ethical approval, Social Work Team leaders from two Dublin CAMHS catchment areas (one in South Dublin and one in North Dublin) were contacted. Consenting SW’s completed a study specific questionnaire relating to children within their care system. This included the age of the child, duration in care, reasons for entry into care, family history, and educational attainment. Information on the child’s service use, including allocation of a SW & GP and attendance at CAMHS was also collected.

Results
Data was obtained on 174 of the 308 children in care residing within the study catchment area (56.5% total eligible sample).

Demographics of Looked After Children
Information was received on 90 (51.8%) males and 84 (48.2%) females, with a mean age of 10.83 (SD = 5.04). The majority were in foster care (N=136, 78.2%), 26 (14.9%) being fostered by relatives. 18 children (10.3%) were in residential care, and 10 children (5.7%) at home under supervision orders. The mean number of out of home placements was 2.35 (SD=2.58, range 1-16). Two thirds were in care for three years or more (N=114, 65.5%). The majority of children had a history of abuse (N=103, 59.2%). The reason for entering care was given in 52 (30%) cases, with parental alcohol or drug misuse being a major factor (Table 1). Twenty-one children (12.8%) had no contact with their biological parents (Table 2).

34 children (19.5%) had a family member with a mental health problem (depression or schizophrenia) and 35 (20.1%) had a family member who had a drug or alcohol related illness. Most of the children were currently attending school (139, 79.9%) but almost 10% had either left school early (15, 8.6%) or were inconsistent attendees (2, 1.1%) A third was considered to have learning difficulties (55/170, 32.4%) (Table 3).
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More than half of children in foster care were identified as having behavioural problems (59/110, 53.6%) increasing to 88.9% (16/18) for children in residential care, X<sup>2</sup> (3, n = 157) = 16.19, p<0.05. Thirty-five (20.5%) children in the sample had displayed violent behaviour to residential care staff, foster carers or teachers. 74.3% of individuals who had displayed violent behaviour had a primary mental health contact. A history of offending behavior was reported in 27 children (15.5%), the majority being male (20, 74%). Children with more frequent placements were more likely to have a mental health contact, X<sup>2</sup> (3, n = 157) = 8.45, p<0.05 (Table 4). Children in residential care were also more likely to have come into contact with MH services, X<sup>2</sup> (3, n = 157) = 8.45, p<0.05 with 83.3% children in this group having had mental health contact. Fifty per cent of children in residential care were rated as having poor social interaction, compared to 21.9% of those in foster care, X<sup>2</sup> (2, n = 154) = 17.40, p<0.05. While longer duration in care was associated with an increased likelihood of a MH contact, X<sup>2</sup> (2, n = 83) = 3.62, p<0.05.

Discussion

Although information was received on just over half (56.5%) of the eligible sample, it portrays a picture of a vulnerable group of young children (mean age 10.83), in long term care (an average of 3 or more years in care) with multiple placement changes who experience significant psychological and educational morbidity. The majority of children (87.9%) in this study were in contact with their biological parents. The Child Care Act 1991 requires health boards to facilitate reasonable access between parents and children, supported by research suggesting more successful outcomes. However, it is also recognized that inconsistent and stressful contacts can jeopardize the stability of a placement and the rights of parents and children need to be carefully balanced. In the UK, due consideration is given to the rights of the child separate to the rights of the parent, a right that is not afforded to them in the Irish system due to the Irish Constitution, which protects the pivotal role and rights of parents. Childrens rights advocates are lobbying the Oireachtas for policy reform on this matter. Almost all the children in this study had siblings who were also in care (95.1%), yet only half (53.2%) were in a placement with their brother or sister. Although 92.9% had regular contact, given that placement with a sibling is known to enhance a child’s outcome in terms of placement stability, development of relationships and enhanced coping skills.

Despite their young age, 8.7% of children were early school leavers, and many had either learning difficulties or repeated a year in school, evidencing the fragmented educational provision experienced by many. This finding is in line with UK research which has shown that children in care have poorer academic achievement when compared with their peers. Given the protective nature of educational attainment and positive relationships with teachers, careful educational planning must form a fundamental part of the childs care plan.

It is recognised that looked after children often have greater difficulty accessing services. Alarming, only 83.8% of our sample had an allocated social worker and fewer (72.3%) had an identified GP. A recent report by the HSE reported almost half of children in care had not been allocated a social worker by the HSE. The Ryan Report Implementation Plan aims to correct this deficit and invest 15 million to recruit an extra 270 social workers. Despite higher prevalence of mental health difficulties among children in care, only 28.7% were attending CAMHS. The reported disorders are similar to other studies investigating the prevalence of childhood psychiatric disorders among looked after children

Our study found an association between type, number and duration of placements and mental health. Children in residential care were significantly more likely to have contact with mental health services (83.3%) compared to children living either in foster care (46.7%) or with a family member (44.4%), consistent with other studies may be attributable to different care experiences, selection effects or higher recognition by trained staff. Children placed in residential care are often very troubled children and have experienced frequent placement breakdowns. They may also be more likely to present with overt behavioral problem, as in our study (88.9% versus 53.5% in foster care) and increased socialization difficulties (50% versus 12.5%). The relationship between placement disruption and greater levels of mental health problems is complex because it is unclear as to whether mental health problems contribute to placement disruption or are a consequence of multiple placements. Researchers have found both cause and effect relationships.

_Despite the study's limitations of small sample size, low response rate and lack of child interview the authors feel it provides an informative and timely overview of children in care in the Dublin area._
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In summary, looked after children frequently present with complex health and educational needs and often face many obstacles in accessing services. Contrary to Government recommendations, not all children are allocated an individual social worker or GP and just over ... were in contact with CAMHS. In line with previous research, residential placement and number of placements both increased the likelihood of mental health contact. Given the poorer outcome for children in residential care, further research is needed to examine the factors associated with this type of placement. A comprehensive service which includes a dedicated social worker, GP, and specialist child psychiatry team would go along way to ensuring the continued and timely multi-disciplinary care that these young children deserve.
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